

GETTING AROUND

Organisation (if specified)	Extract of relevant comment	Sentiment	Source
	Loss of the ability for existing residents to be able to access their houses. The proposals to limit the access out of Thames Lane to only a left turn would do this and force all traffic onto Bradgate Road, a residential street	Negative	Commonplace
	The Yards and Lanes areas seem to only have pedestrian access. It's essential that access is allowed for people on bicycles, both visitors to the areas, residents in the Lanes and environmentally friendly cargo bike delivery	Negative	Zoom
	I asked about severance that these roads cause and how you and the developers can really influence TfL. The plan envisages even more people on foot crossing these roads but just a couple of crossings is really not ne	Negative	Zoom
	Please ensure the Waterlink Way cycle track (a very popular route) is wide enough through this section to minimise conflict between cyclists and pedestrians	Positive	Commonplace
	More access points are needed to the river between Catford town centre and Bell Green.	Positive	Commonplace
	To allow easier access to Catford Bridge station east side it would be great if the one way section of Doggett Road could be made two-way for cycling	Positive	Commonplace
	The whole redesign is very welcome for the area. How will we deal with parking, which is already an issue in the catford conservation areas, if we are making the town more environmentally friendly.	Positive	Zoom
	My concern is about traffic being displaced into the surrounding residential areas with drivers avoiding the centre (as they do now). Just to add - I'm very supportive of the overall plans including improving cycling and fu	Neutral	Zoom
	The Framework document appears to rely on the Bakerloo Line extension occurring past Lewisham. Given TfL's budget issues and potential shelving of this, how will the infrastructure be guaranteed for this increase in fu	Negative	Zoom
	From what I've heard today, cycling seems a bit of an afterthought. How will you ensure the needs of people who want to cycle in and around Catford are met, and have you looked into how cycling has been included in	Negative	Zoom
	Really excited about the improved cycling I get off my bike and walk to get from Wickes to the Old town hall at the moment because there's no safe route	Positive	Zoom
	The stretch of Catford Road between Stanstead Road end and Catford Station, particularly under the rail line, is very unattractive to walk on/ very dangerous to cycle. Please widen the pavement, improve the area unde	Neutral	Commonplace
	Is there opportunity to make immediate changes to the traffic phasing etc around the Catford bridge station to make it safer for cyclists? My route is Canadian Avenue to Ladywell Fields and the Catford Road RH turns are	Positive	Commonplace
	Currently if you are a pedestrian you are in danger of being mowed down by cyclists who use it so designated cycle routes would be beneficial.	Positive	Commonplace
	Looking at the areas immediately to the west and east of Catford Bridge station I would ask why motor vehicle access is retained to these areas when they would be better dedicated to pedestrian and cyclist access. Thi	Neutral	Commonplace
	Think the cantilevered walking and cycling bridge on the south side of Catford Bridge is an essential part of these works. The South Circular is so dangerous to cyclists and pedestrians at this point that it is the only viabl	Positive	Commonplace
	Adenmore Road is currently filtered at the west end by Catford Station. It is essential that this filter remains in place (not shown in your graphic) and that the no access for motor vehicles from the north end is also retai	Neutral	Commonplace
	The plans are OK as far as they go but you have failed to mention the footbridge over the railway from Doggett Road which was promised as part of the regeneration of the dog track. This footbridge is badly needed by	Negative	Commonplace
	Consideration should be given to a left turn lane prior as you turn into Dogget Road which means that you can pass the numerous buses and slow down without the sense and worry that the car behind you will not rea	Neutral	Commonplace
	Please ensure those who live in the streets to the west of Rushey Green can keep accessing the streets by vehicles, the proposed bike / cycle lanes adjustments and limited turning that is proposed imminently will limit	Neutral	Commonplace
	Positive elements: The improved ground level permeability and legibility; Pedestrian priority;	Positive	Commonplace
	Apparent lack of provision for commercial vehicles to reach the yards during business hours to support widest flexibility of use (and thus avoid vacancies) .	Negative	Commonplace
	Its great news although depressing to read it will take 5-10 years.	Neutral	Commonplace
	This is fantastic and will make me carry on with my recent switch from using car to bike	Positive	Commonplace
	Very supportive in principle. The massive caveat is that these works do not push cars on to residential roads further away from the centre. Many cars currently cut out Catford centre by travelling down Torridon Road ar	Positive	Commonplace
	Sangley Road should be kept as is i.e. not accept east-bound traffic. All south circular traffic should be routed on Plassey Road. Otherwise, the roads running parallel to the South Circular will just be overwhelmed by tra	Neutral	Commonplace
	Welcoming any thoughtful cycling routes and paths in the area, a few things to flag - the pedestrian bridge over the railway in Ladywell Fields needs to be replaced - its pitch is too steep and it regularly has worn patches	Neutral	Commonplace
	Made up - why illustrate with a picture of Manchester? This is not reality.	Negative	Commonplace
	In support but doesn't show how north/south links with more of the easterly neighbourhoods of Catford	Neutral	Commonplace
	The Yards and Lanes areas seem to only have pedestrian access. It's essential that access is allowed for people on bicycles, both visitors to the areas, residents in the Lanes and environmentally friendly cargo bike delive	Neutral	Commonplace
	The one-way section of Doggett Road should be enabled for contra-flow cycling to allow people to cycle to Catford Bridge station and join the underpass under Catford Bridge.	Neutral	Commonplace
	The area to the North of the South Circular i.e. Doggett Road and environs, should be filtered to prevent any through traffic rat-running to/from the A21 further North	Neutral	Commonplace
	Your graphics and photo of main road cycle lanes are very poor. It is essential that all cycle lanes on main roads in the area (A21 and A205) are properly segregated from motorised traffic, not unsegregated as per your fu	Neutral	Commonplace
	Would be great if you can implement a similar scheme for the Catford masterplan. Would tackle a lot of local congestion and pollution for business logistics. The car park on Holbeach Road could be a perfect Cargo bike	Positive	Socials
	Too many cycle routes stop people enjoying walking	Negative	Commonplace
	In principle, I wholeheartedly agree with your proposals to prioritise cyclists and pedestrians. However, based on your illustrations, your proposals don't go far enough. It looks like there motor vehicles will still be allow	Neutral	Commonplace
	Really need wide pavements for both cyclists and people with prams / dogs to use at same time. Level access really important and current situation around wicker really difficult to navigate from water link way to catfor	Positive	Commonplace
	This proposal is inconsistent with the 'Improvements to the Station Area' one. In that one you highlight the proposal to cantilever bridge(s) off Catford Railway Bridge to make space for pedestrians and people on bicycl	Negative	Commonplace
	The item 'existing on street cycle route' across the bridge at Catford on the A205 is not such thing. It is a very dangerous thing, a very narrow lane with a white line only marking it, and only in one direction. Cyclists wis	Negative	Commonplace
	The main A205 road westbound should have a separated cycle route on a new buttressed bridge which would allow cyclists to avoid the right turn by turning back under Catford Bridge to head North.	Neutral	Commonplace
	Air quality should be a priority. Something needs to be done about vehicles breaking the speed limits and idling their engines and parking illegally near the stations.	Neutral	Commonplace
	Need to open the station for access to the platforms for those living to the north. Don't like limited turning out of Thomas Lane, will be a nightmare for those living in the streets to the north.	Neutral	Commonplace
	Thank you, however Brownhill Road residents are always adversely affected when there are developments /changes to traffic flow to Catford or the neighbouring streets the most recent example was the LTN which was	Negative	Zoom
	The key route from Ravensbourne Quarter is into Catford Town centre, these designs don't show any, does this mean the South Circular will be widened across the railway?	Negative	Zoom
	Too much corporate speak about crossing the bridge. This is key to linking these 2 areas of SE6, without it you will never attract more cycling in the area, or families walking.	Negative	Zoom
	Would be great if you can implement a similar scheme for the Catford masterplan. Would tackle a lot of local congestion and pollution for business logistics. The car park on Holbeach Road could be a perfect Cargo bike	Neutral	Socials
	How do we ensure the new cycle lanes do not adversely create issues with pollution as we have seen with the current changes throughout Lewisham over lockdown. In other words, how do we ensure true accessibility	Neutral	Email
	The documents point to the Bakerloo Line extension which has not been confirmed, and given reduced TfL budgets, has been discussed as potentially being shelved for a few years for any extension past Lewisham. Trai	Negative	Email
	In regards to Thomas Lane, has consideration been given to how busy this can get especially in rush hours? Currently this is very busy during these times, as well as during others in the week, with cars queuing back to t	Negative	Email
	The proposals show the end of Thomas Lane becoming left turn only - this is surely going to cause issues for all the residents living in the streets to the north limiting ways out onto the main road network and preventir	Negative	Email
	The proposals also show making Nelgarde Road no entry from Catford Road - why is this? This is hardly used as a turning in this direction as proposed in the documents from my observation and experience so will likely	Negative	Email
	The Framework document appears to rely on the Bakerloo Line extension occurring past Lewisham. Given TfL's budget issues and potential shelving of this, how will the infrastructure be guaranteed for this increase in p	Negative	Email
	Is there opportunity to make immediate changes to the traffic phasing etc around the Catford bridge station to make it safer for cyclists? My route is Canadian Avenue to Ladywell Fields and the Catford Road RH turns ar	Neutral	Email
	What changes will there be to road traffic. Especially buses.		
	do you have a start date in mind?	Neutral	Email
	What about parking?	Neutral	Email

Is there an update on the Bakerloo extension? Is it likely to be cancelled or significantly delayed due to the funding issues at TfL?

Neutral Email

After carefully reading your email the proposed traffic flow makes sense. A few Lewisham Cyclists members have been drafting a Low Traffic Neighbourhood scheme proposal for the larger Rushey Green area which I be Positive Email

I have a follow up question about the plans for the Waterlink Way along the Ravensbourne Quarter. The map at <https://catfordframework.commonplace.is/proposals/getting-around> seems to indicate that the Catford f Negative Email

In addition to these proposed traffic flows, we have been discussing other aspects of the Catford framework document with Lewisham Cycling members. There is a very strong interest in the cantilevered bridge along C Positive Email

Hope these pedestrian improvements come first (and thanks for the hard work) - at the moment, having safe crossing points along Catford Hill and Catford Road would be a massive quality of walking improvement Positive Socials

I agree - plus no 'green' bridge link built as proposed to make East to West entry/exit to Catford Centre for pedestrians and cyclists to end the misery of polluted, South Circular Road access via Catford Bridge. A 1st pha: Negative Socials

Interesting news on the @lewishamcouncil @teamcatford @Cmnplace project. Positive Socials

I understand that such massive regeneration projects are target driven and have to provide a certain number of homes - however I would argue that these targets are tough to fulfil without any proven extension to pub Neutral Email

It's hard to commute as it is, this plus the proposed Lanes just seems too much and will ruined the remain enjoyable experience there is in catford with over packed trains and poor service. I want to support this but str Negative Email

The journey passed wicks/Halfords to cycle or run along the river is currently awful. Anything to improve this is welcomed. Positive Email

Also, in order to promote walking and cycling more thought should be given to the connectivity of the area: - to the west, I'm not entirely clear how a cyclist or a pedestrian could seamlessly join Stanstead Road? Negative Email

Is there any way to make a pedestrian/ cyclist crossing to Fordmill Road? Neutral Email

Currently the connectivity of Plassy Island to the centre is poor due to the number of traffic lanes that need to be crossed. Has any consideration been given to placing that stretch of Rushey Green (and/or Circular thro: Neutral Email

Bakerloo Line support is great, but until a commitment has been made and work started, I hold this is as an unsustainable development and the Council have jumped the gun by claiming 2,700 units are achievable with Negative Email

I very much doubt the Bakerloo Line Extension phase 2 will be done in the timescales of the Framework if it does occur at all and there is no back up plan in place - though please correct me if this is not true. Negative Email

I doubt in this area of London there will be a car reduction you claim, especially knowing how my neighbours and myself depend on them for both family and work commitments. For me, I work in London but my job re Negative Email

In regards to Thomas Lane, I don't think the proposal stacks up with how this is actually used. Though I am in agreement for more pedestrian orientated landscape, this is the only way out of this section of Rushey Gree Negative Email

7 (Numbered 6) - Again this is not my experience but as I think it is little used, doubt it will be an issue. Closing off sides streets such as Doggett would segregate those who live in Rushey Green to the extent that it wou Negative Email

If you are really wanting to aid pedestrian and cycle movement, build the bridge promised as a replacement to the old one near Holbeach School that so many campaigns over. Also please note that though I don't think Negative Email

- I think the proposed workspace and council buildings look very positive and the bike lanes on the south Circular would be fantastic if they happen. Positive Email

However as a general comment we feel that the proposed stations framework still prioritises motor vehicle access to the stations too much and this is constraining the plans and preventing the delivery of the highest st Negative Email

Area between Catford Bridge and Catford stations (Adenmore Roadetc.)This area (8) is currently filtered at the west end outside Catford station and signed as being closed to motor vehicles at its north end, but this is n Negative Email

The graphic on page 65 appears to show Adenmore Road unfiltered at the Ravensbourne Park junction outside Catford station.It also talks about (p65/4) access for services and drop-off. This is currently a pedestrianise Negative Email

Cycling parking for Catford station (3) is shown out on Ravensbourne Park effectively hidden for arrivals from the Waterlink Way. We believe there should be cycle parking also on the east side of Catford station in a pro Neutral Email

Consideration should also be given to ensuring the Waterlink Way route, which is a very busy cycling route, is not obstructed or impeded as it passes through this area and the layout is designed to minimise conflict wit Neutral Email

We are supportive of the mooted plan to provide direct access (5) to the Catford Bridge station footbridge from outside the station thereby improving permeability across the railway line. Assuming A disability compliar Positive Email

Approaches to east side of Catford Bridge station (from Doggett Road)We believe that motor vehicular access should be removed from the forecourt(10)at the front of the stationwith demountable bollard(s) provided t Neutral Email

Removing the roundabout structure would release space on the forecourt to host the cycle parking, rather than it being hidden away at (3)near the Catford Bridge underpass. That location is not on the arrival desire lin Negative Email

The one-way section of Doggett Road (from the Holbeach Road junction) should be made two-way for cyclists so that they can directly access the station and also the existing pedestrian underpass under Catford Bridge Positive Email

This change should be made asap to provide a safe route for people on bicycles to the station from theRushey Green area. We understand that established Council policy is to generally convert one-way streets to two-v Positive Email

The cycle route from the bridge should then continue further west through an arch ontheCatford Loop line railway viaduct. And then up CatfordHill for a short way utilising some pavement space to avoid the Catford Hi Positive Email

In regards to Thomas Lane, has consideration been given to how busy this can get especially in rush hours? Currently this is very busy during these times, as well as during others in the week, with cars queuing back to t Negative Email

6 - The proposals show the end of Thomas Lane becoming left turn only - this is surely going to cause issues for all the residents living in the streets to the north limiting ways out onto the main road network and preve Negative Email

7 - The proposals also show making Nelgarde Road no entry from Catford Road - why is this? This is hardly used as a turning in this direction as proposed in the documents from my observation and experience so will lik Negative Email

Also to note, Thomas Lane is heavily used, the Framework shows this becoming left turn only which would be disasterous to those living in the victorian terraces to the north. Negative Email

My route is canadian avenue to ladywell fields and the Catford road RH turns are a real danger. Negative Email

1) I am not clear how the rerouting of the South Circular behind Laurence House will mitigate the traffic bottleneck at Catford Hill. Surely there is a case for reconfiguring the railway bridge, etc. ? Negative Email

None of these plans will make my journey from SE4 any more attractive/safe/convenient. Negative Email

Podium courtyards and poor doors 7.1 While I welcome as much green space as possible as part of the plans, I do not understand with the designs how this will facilitate pedestrians and cyclists moving through the site Negative Email

General views - We are very supportive oftheseplans to improve the public realm around Catford stations and make significant improvements in walking and cyclingaccess to this area. However as a general comment we Negative Email

Area between Catford Bridge and Catford stations (Adenmore Roadetc.) - This area (8) is currentlyfiltered at the west end outside Catford station andsigned as being closed to motor vehiclesat its north end, but this is n Negative Email

position on the arrival desire line is a proven encouragement to use this mode as a way of accessing the station, witness the success of this approach at Brockley station.Consideration should also be given to ensuring tf Negative Email

Waterlink Way route under Catford Bridge - We are very supportive of the proposal to widen the track of the Waterlink Way (15) as it passes under Catford Bridge. This should be implemented as soon as possible as the Negative Email

Approaches to east side of Catford Bridge station (from Doggett Road) - We believe that motor vehicular access should be removed from the forecourt(10)at the front of the stationwith demountable bollard(s) provide Negative Email

Approach to east side of Catford Bridge station from the south (underpass under Catford Bridge)The arch(es) under Catford Bridge shouldbe opened out to make the underpass (13) more welcoming and spacious. The c Negative Email

Doggett Road The one-way section of Doggett Road (from the Holbeach Road junction) should be made two-way for cyclists so that they can directly access the station and also theexisting pedestrianunderpass under Catford Bridge, Negative Email

Cycling Bridge cantilevered to south side of Catford Bridge - We are very supportive of this planned cycling bridge(20)as there is inadequate road space on thevery hazardous A205 as it passes over Catford Bridge to ma Negative Email

p105 - The potential to uncover the Ravensbourne River is supported. However, at this time there is no information to confirm that the statutory bodies for the river support this approach nor has there been a viability Negative Email

P106 - The key on the building heights plan does not reflect the colouring on the plan. We believe the dark blue would logically be the 17-20 storey annotation and the colour grading should be corrected in the legend. Negative Email

P107 - The annotation on the lower level uses need to be updated to reflect the new E Use Class and its associated sub categories (a)-(e) excluding E(g)(iii) industrial processes. A5 would also still need to be included as Negative Email

1.Strategic Principles for Catford: '10 Key Moves'Page 27 of the CTCF document outlines a series of proposed 'strategic principles' for Catford town centre, which have been refined into '10 Key Moves'. Whilst several of Negative Email

2.Building Heights throughout Catford Town CentrePages 50-51 of the Draft CTCF document set out LBL and Team Catford's strategy concerning buildings heights throughout the town centre. This section of the Negative Email

Avison Young (UK) Limited registered in England and Wales number 6382509.Registered office, 3 Brindleyplace, Birmingham B1 2JB. Regulated by RICS4In moving forward, we also request that the latest version of the Negative Email

3. Key Regeneration Sites On Page 59 of the Draft CTCF, the Plassy Island Site is identified as a key regeneration site within Catford and as "the missing link in an important part of the borough's sustainable transport net Negative Email

4.The Plassy Island Character Area - On Pages 98-103 of the CTCF document, LBL and Team Catford set out a series of key development principles and parameters which will be relevant to redevelopment proposals Negative Email

Figure 2: Excerpt from CTCF Document (Page 100)Land use: TheCTCF document identifies a broad land use strategy for the Plassy Island site, as follows:"Building plots fronting onto the South Circular would be best suit Negative Email

In response to the above points: -Open Space: Barratt London and the Church Commissioners support the aspiration for open space to be delivered at Plassy Island alongside mixed use development and for pedestrian Negative Email

5.Development Quantum - We note that the CTCF document does not include any details concerning the likely number of homes/quantum of other types of floorspace likely to be appropriate at the Site. We we Negative Email

Lewisham Cyclists

Canada Life

Avison Young - UK, on behalf of Barratt London and the Church Commissioners

IMPROVEMENTS TO THE STATION AREA

Organisation (if specified)

Extract of relevant comment

Sentiment

Source

Please address surface water challenges in area though. At the moment the area often inaccessible for pedestrians due to localised flooding e.g. under bridge

Neutral

Zoom

As a final point, the indicative drawing appears to suggest no thought has been given as to how to incorporate the adjoining railway arches, refurbished and re-purposed, perhaps with more new similar spaces around :	Neutral	Commonplace
To allow easier access to Catford Bridge station east side it would be great if the one way section of Doggett Road could be made two-way for cycling	Positive	Commonplace
There is also a useful pedestrian underpass on the east side of Catford Bridge station leading to the south side pavement of Catford Bridge. It is shown on your graphic. This needs to be opened out to make it safer and	Positive	Commonplace
Great to hear that the underpass leading to the Halfords site is going to be widened. Very busy through there with both pedestrians and cyclists.	Positive	Commonplace
The idea of a new cantilever bridge to allow safe space for cycling and walking is crucial for safe access to and from the town centre. This is desperately needed following several serious and one fatal accident exactly at	Positive	Commonplace
The cantilevered bridge is a key element to this scheme, as it allows safe east-west travel at one of the few crossing-points across the railway and river in Lewisham	Positive	Commonplace
I think these are great ideas, but I also wonder whether consideration has been made around the level of crime around these stations. Are there plans for increased CCTV coverage?	Positive	Commonplace
Unless the single way over the railway bridges are transformed to at least dual, the traffic would continue to rise, and unfortunately the incidents with people or cars	Neutral	Commonplace
Disability access needs improvement. Currently disabled people cannot use Catford Station, please consider ramps or a lift. Please also ensure there is good ramp access from both station forecourts to the pavement	Neutral	Commonplace
Disabled access to both Catford stations needs to be ensured - ramps from the station forecourts to pavements. Currently, Catford Station has no disabled access. There is space for a lift installation to both platforms	Neutral	Commonplace
Please address surface water challenges in area though. At the moment the area often inaccessible for pedestrians due to localised flooding e.g. under bridge	Neutral	Commonplace
I agree with the proposals, however they do not appear to be taking into account people who access the stations from the west, and therefore can't be considered complete.	Neutral	Commonplace
It's horrific now so anything will be an improvement	Neutral	Commonplace
Good. would feel a lot happier being able to get between both stations avoiding the smelly road	Positive	Commonplace
The improvements sound great but please can you get feedback from the Grosvenor Court residents who actually live between both train stations as this will affect us, before you go ahead with any firm plans! We have	Positive	Commonplace
Really good, should produce something that can be easily maintained in the long term.	Positive	Commonplace
Is there opportunity to make immediate changes to the traffic phasing etc around the Catford bridge station to make it safer for cyclists? My route is canadian avenue to ladywell fields and the Catford road RH turns are	Positive	Commonplace
Unsure if they will add a step free access. That would be ideal. Otherwise, sounds good.	Positive	Commonplace
All proposals will enhance the experience at the station, improving access. I am particularly keen that the underpass be widened and improved. It is a safe way of crossing that is underserved because it sometimes feels un	Positive	Commonplace
Looking at the areas immediately to the west and east of Catford Bridge station I would ask why motor vehicle access is retained to these areas when they would be better dedicated to pedestrian and cyclist access. Th	Neutral	Commonplace
Think the cantilevered walking and cycling bridge on the south side of Catford Bridge is an essential part of these works. The South Circular is so dangerous to cyclists and pedestrians at this point that it is the only viabl	Positive	Commonplace
Adenmore Road is currently filtered at the west end by Catford Station. It is essential that this filter remains in place (not shown in your graphic) and that the no access for motor vehicles from the north end is also retai	Neutral	Commonplace
has Lewisham Council received a planning application to make Catford Station step free. I understand that Network Rail are committed to delivering step free access by 2024, but it does need to obtain planning perm	Neutral	Commonplace
This all sounds really good - but as another comment has said, there is little in the proposal for those who come from the west, under the bridge.	Positive	Commonplace
Making it safer and more pleasant for pedestrians accessing the station is great, including widening the underpass.	Positive	Commonplace
However even after the mural painting this has become a fairly uninviting space again. Hopefully it will be designed so it is possible for it to stay that way, or be better/ more regularly maintained.	Neutral	Commonplace
The plans are OK as far as they go but you have failed to mention the footbridge over the railway from Doggett Road which was promised as part of the regeneration of the dog track. This footbridge is badly needed by	Neutral	Commonplace
Plans need to be more ambitious in improving access and environment for people coming/going from the west along Catford Hill and Stanstead Road. This is currently one of the most unpleasant walks/cycles in Londo	Negative	Commonplace
The green space between Renshaw Close and Catford Station should be kept as a green space but better maintained, at the moment it is just full of rubbish.	Neutral	Commonplace
Ensure opening up of the platform to the north of the catford bridge stations occurs. The central space could be very good, I agree with reusing the old ticket office, such a lovely, but currently unloved building	Positive	Commonplace
Happy for improvements, you hve a good opportunity to make a nice public space and reuse the old ticket office next to Catford Green	Positive	Commonplace
This area could be greatly improved which this goes someway too but it feels more could be done. It doesn't give firm commitment to the northern access to the station from Doggett Road which would be a great bene	Neutral	Commonplace
Widening the underpass is great but realignment is needed of the various elements surrounding the underpass to allow clear views through and avoid hidden points which feel unsafe.	Neutral	Commonplace
This proposal is inconsistent with the 'Improvements to the Station Area' one. In that one you highlight the proposal to cantilever bridge(s) off Catford Railway Bridge to make space for pedestrians and people on bicycl	Negative	Commonplace
Need to open the station for access to the platforms for those living to the north. Don't like limited turning out of Thomas Lane, will be a nightmare for those living in the streets to the north.	Neutral	Commonplace
The proposals to make the towncentre better and safe for cyclists is long needed. Though this should not cut routes in and out of residential areas such as limiting Thomas Lane to left turn only. This will cause issues for	Neutral	Commonplace
I like the pedestrian and cycle focus but it seem to come at a loss to those who need to drive for work and child needs. I'm also worried about the proposed street changes like Thomas Lane that me and my neighbours	Negative	Commonplace
I can't quite understand how the station improvements and refurbishing the CC Club will make a real difference in the town centre, nice to have but a priority? None of these plans will make my journey from SE4 any m	Negative	Zoom
14 - North access to the train station is good idea and would be greatly welcomed from Doggett Road side especially - it is a shame Barretts never fulfilled this.	Positive	Email
15 - Reuse of disused station ticket offices is a good idea as this has great potential and will give the area round the station the love and life it lacks - this will also make it feel safer at night.	Positive	Email
The two tower proposed would destroy a potentially nice area adjacent to the station. They also sit uncomfortably close to the road. This has happened here at Lewisham gateway. Getting from a to be involves close coi	Negative	Email
I can't find anyone who prefers Catford Station Improvements in preference to reinstating a 'green link' parallel pedestrian/cycleway bridge to avoid the current and ongoing disaster of the South Circular Road access m	Negative	Socials
Agree it's about time both Catford stations had disabled access. It must be hard enough for people with buggies. You are well & truly stuffed if in a wheelchair.	Negative	Socials
I'd love to see another crossing point for the railway line but I agree it would be technically difficult and very expensive. I don't see why we should dismiss other more achievable and more affordable improvement sche	Positive	Socials
The junction with Stanstead Road at the Catford Stations is already really busy.	Negative	Email
There should be some plan to use the rail in a commercial capacity as this has been a success in places like Deptford.	Neutral	Email
We are very supportive of these plans to improve the public realm around Catford stations and make significant improvements in walking and cycling access to this area.	Negative	Email
The vast majority of people arrive at these stations either on foot, on bicycle or using public transport so they should be the absolute focus of the designs. The roads around Catford are constantly above capacity so pec	Negative	Email
The graphic on page 65 appears to show Adenmore Road unfiltered at the Ravensbourne Park junction outside Catford station.It also talks about (p65/4) access for services and drop-off. This is currently a pedestrianise	Negative	Email
The design should not facilitate motor vehicle drop-off directly outsideCatford station, except for essential disableddrop-off access.	Negative	Email
Cycling parking for Catford station (3) is shown out on Ravensbourne Park effectively hidden for arrivals from the Waterlink Way. We believe there should be cycle parking also on the east side of Catford station in a pro	Neutral	Email
We are supportive of the mooted plan to provide direct access (5) to the Catford Bridge station footbridge from outside the station thereby improving permeability across the railway line. Assuming A disability compliar	Positive	Email
Waterlink Way route under Catford Bridge. We are very supportive of the proposal to widen the track of the Waterlink Way (15) as it passes under Catford Bridge. This should be implemented as soon as possible as the	Positive	Email
Approaches to east side of Catford Bridge station (from Doggett Road)We believe that motor vehicular access should be removed from the forecourt(10)at the front of the stationwith demountable bollard(s) provided t	Neutral	Email
Disabled drop-off could easily be in the same area as the proposed taxi drop-off (11) up on Doggett Road.	Neutral	Email
Removing motor vehicles from the forecourt (10) will also allow the roundabout structure in front of the station entrance to be completely removed to open sightlines and allow pedestrians to follow the desire line dire	Neutral	Email
The schematic appears to suggest this structure is going to be retained with additional planting in it -this seems ill-advised as it impedes sightlines and blocks the direct pedestrian route. Removing the roundabout struc	Negative	Email
Approach to east side of Catford Bridge station from the south (underpass under Catford Bridge)The arch(es) under Catford Bridge shouldbe opened out to make the underpass (13) more welcoming and spacious. The t	Neutral	Email
The one-way section of Doggett Road (from the Holbeach Road junction) should be made two-way for cyclists so that they can directly access the station and also the existing pedestrian underpass under Catford Bridge	Positive	Email
This change should be made asap to provide a safe route for people on bicycles to the station from theRushey Green area. We understand that established Council policy is to generally convert one-way streets to two-v	Positive	Email
ycling Bridge cantilevered to south side of Catford Bridge We are very supportive of this planned cycling bridge(20)as there is inadequate road space on thevery hazardous A205 as it passes over Catford Bridge to make	Positive	Email
14 - North access to the train station is good idea and would be greatly welcomed from Doggett Road side especially - it is a shame Barretts never fulfilled this.	Positive	Email

15 - Reuse of disused station ticket offices is a good idea as this has great potential and will give the area round the station the love and life it lacks - this will also make it feel safer at night.	Positive	Email
I can't quite understand how the station improvements and refurbishing the CC Club will make a real difference in the town centre, nice to have but a priority?	Negative	Email
We act on behalf of Catford Homes, the owner of the Catford Timber Yard on Rushey Green, Catford. This site is vacant and ready for development in the short term. In 2019, planning permission was granted		
Finally, we note the aspiration for a tall building in the Plassy Island character area, however, having considered this area in some depth as part of the evolution of proposals for the Timber Yard site, our client and itstow		

Catford Homes

GREEN TOWN CENTRE

Organisation (if specified)	Extract of relevant comment	Sentiment	Source
	Fantastic. Trees & plants are vital for so many reasons	Positive	Commonplace
	Curious why Forster Memorial Park not included	Negative	Commonplace
	I love it, it seems vibrant and fresh. Hopefully we'll see restaurants and bars with outdoor seating areas taking advantage of this.	Positive	Commonplace
	I support this proposal and think many of the local population have refound nature and the wonderful local parks in 2020.	Positive	Commonplace
	I fully support anything that makes Catford more green for the long term. No trees in planters though. We need long term green solutions, not a bit of green box ticking.	Positive	Commonplace
	I support putting green space at the heart of the development	Positive	Commonplace
	Good idea, should encourage businesses that are "green" to locate here too.	Positive	Commonplace
	TeamCatford 'expert' guidance at Lewisham Council is simply wasting time and money when the fatal flaw in both the 2018 Masterplan and the morphed 'Framework Plan' fails to deal with East to West 'green' link acc	Negative	Socials
	Green' link bridge over Prim Catford Green over to Doggett Rd directly opposite Holbeach Primary School SE6.	Negative	Socials
	Catford's Plan operates against the cyclist/pedestrian/green concerns and wishes of local park user groups and Lewisham Cyclists	Negative	Socials
	NOon delivery of the proposed bridge link from Catford Green over to Doggett Rd SE6	Negative	Socials
	There is literally a crack house on Catford Hill. Maybe sort this out first before thinking about going 'green' for Catford Man facepalming	Negative	Socials
	Plant(s) wont tackle the real issues...	Negative	Socials
	More green space and place for outdoor sport activities	Positive	Socials
	I think the pedestrian focus is great, but...	Positive	Socials
	Sounds great, I hope there will be plenty of Bee & Butterfly friendly colourful planters / borders.	Positive	Socials
	Yes but you need the expertise as well tfl consultants along with Green Landscape consultants should be early reports at masterplan stage. its the only way.	Neutral	Zoom
	green consulatnts who undersstamnd about habitats, amenity, soil	Neutral	Zoom
	[Removing existing tunnelling of the River (near Ravensbourne Quarter to be)] It would be a great improvement and help create the green corridor for wildlife to travel into and out of the areas closer to C. London	Positive	Zoom
	It is important that there is a comprehensive approach to green infrastructure for the town centre, linking green open spaces/green roofs/rain gardens to ensure sustainable drainage , biodiversity and other sustainabili	Positive	Zoom
	Just putting few trees along the roads would have limited effect and would be a missed opportunity	Negative	Zoom
	It looks good but I worry that you are gentrifying and will be pushing existng residents out in order to attract more wealthier people.	Neutral	Commonplace
	I fully embrace the idea of a green town centre. However,	Positive	Commonplace
	Would like proposals around greening to demonstrate how linking to green wider routes to primary schools etc.	Neutral	Commonplace
	Good but need to strengthen connections to wider area in order to facilitate greening in centre.	Positive	Commonplace
	This is much needed	Positive	Commonplace
	As well as reducing traffic pollution when shopping, the plans would also,be a huge aesthetic improvement	Positive	Commonplace
	I think that putting a 'green town centre' at the heart of the proposal is promising, as far as it goes.	Positive	Commonplace
	However as many of the comments have said, hopefully this is not just greenwashing, sugaring the pill of massive influx of high rise flats?	Negative	Commonplace
	Equally, more support to greening the surrounding areas with long term green plans - especially on the routes to school. Council should make it easier to plant more street trees, which should also be the right trees for	Neutral	Commonplace
	Without building the pedestrian/cycle bridge from Dogget Road over the railway into Catford Green development the proposals fail to meet the desired plans to make a fully green town centre.	Negative	Commonplace
	Green space is a fantastic centrepiece for the town centre. Improved access to and from the pool river in the south is much needed.	Positive	Commonplace
	Maintaining, improving and increasing green spaces should be a priority.	Positive	Commonplace
	I work and live in Catford and work for Lewisham street tree planting charity Street Trees for Living. This all sounds excellent and exciting, is there a way we can start to plant street trees now in order to complement the	Positive	Zoom
	The sketches show lots of greenery but there's a big difference between trees in tubs and occasional designer patches of grass. How will the actual biodiversity and ecological potential of the area be fully factored in?	Neutral	Zoom
	Greening the town centre is a nice idea and the Council should make it extend this to make it easier for more street tree to be brought into existing public streets. Proper maintenance and commitment for their up-keep	Positive	Commonplace
	Public spaces would be nice, makes sure they each have a distinct character and use as shown, otherwise there will just be a lot of public space.	Positive	Commonplace
	embodied carbon in soil is crucial. Catford has a chance to actually create stored carbon in the soil. it is now to think about that	Neutral	Zoom
	3 - The proposals discuss bringing green space into the town centre, has the council committed to maintaining these new green spaces as currently the existing ones are not maintained very well, misused and are collec	Negative	Email
	entrepreneurs to come in and revitalise old buildings is the way forward. It's not necessary to apply a generic brush to everything, just let people create their own units like in Brixton. No bulldozer has gone into Brixton	Negative	Email
	The whole redesign is very welcome for the area.	Positive	Email
	If the 'green town centre' is the main concept for the masterplan, how can you measure that this will be achieved?	Neutral	Email
	I've written to TeamCatford today and expressed my ongoing view that the Council's intention to ditch the proposed pedestrian/cycle use public highway bridge from Catford Green over to Doggett Road ensures a Stag	Negative	Socials
	It will be a dismal failure for linking green spaces on the East/West Catford Centre access preventing 'Green Chain' links with existing routes with South Circular Road access persisting to the misery of pedestrians and cy	Negative	Socials
	The Aldi and Mac Donald's area is equally as bad and could do with a facelift. Greener spaces with nicer shops are needed	Positive	Email
	It is good a sustainability consultant has been involved from an early stage, I would be interested in learning more about the targets the Council is aiming for in terms of energy performance and what proposals are mac	Positive	Email
	It is a crying shame that a building that has only stood for 20-30 years is being demolished, let alone one that has only been standing for 50 years, especially with the amount of embodied carbon. These are lessons we	Negative	Email
	Please keep me informed of the emerging proposals you also mention and please let me know the targets that the development will be looking to achieve - I hope this far exceeds the government base line which is kno	Neutral	Email
	I'm writing to express my strong support for the Catford Town Centre Framework plan. I live right on the edge of the development area in Hamlet Close (off Wildfell Road) so if I don't move I'll be directly affected by the	Positive	Email
	Building lots of homes within walking distance of shops and public transport is the best way to cut carbon emissions.	Positive	Email
	3 - The proposals discuss bringing green space into the town centre, has the council committed to maintaining these new green spaces as currently the existing ones are not maintained very well, misused and are collec	Negative	Socials
	4 - There is mention of green spaces but what are the other key sustainability targets the regeneration is targeting. This is still an early-stage project so it should take into full consideration the climate emergency that h:	Neutral	Email
	16 - Adding frontages to the theatre side of the Broadway is a great idea and will help strengthen its appeal.	Positive	Email
	The whole redesign is very welcome for the area.	Positive	Email
	How will we deal with parking, which is already an issue in the catford conservation areas, if we are making the town more environmentally friendly.	Neutral	Email

I live in Catford and support development of quality that will make the area a better place to live.	Positive	Email
I would be more supportive of this scheme if I felt that it really was going deliver on its promise to provide affordable homes for local people.	Negative	Email
I can't quite understand how the station improvements and refurbishing the CC Club will make a real difference in the town centre, nice to have but a priority?	Negative	Email
I believe the CTCF contains many positive aspects, and the goals for a green, vibrant and accessible town centre are excellent and admirable, as is opening up the Ravensbourne River and seeking to retain Catford's unic	Positive	Email
Many comments state that we emphatically do not want Catford to resemble Lewisham, which has lost all its sense of place.	Negative	Email
Catford is part of the Lewisham and New Cross Area of Opportunity, however while the latter two areas will definitely be served by the first phase of the Bakerloo extension, this is not true for Catford. We do not even I	Negative	Email
This will make air quality for people living in the high rises on the south circular very poor indeed and potentially dangerous to health. Air pollution was proven to be a factor in Ella Adoo-Kissi-Debrah's death who lived ;	Negative	Email
Podium courtyards and poor doors 7.1 While I welcome as much green space as possible as part of the plans, I do not understand with the designs how this will facilitate pedestrians and cyclists moving through the site	Negative	Email

THE LANES

Organisation (if specified)	Extract of relevant comment	Sentiment	Source
	I am concerned about the Lanes and the heights of the proposed towers and proximity they have to each other. From reading through the Draft Framework, these range from 1.5x the height of the existing Milford Tow	Negative	Zoom
	Have the Planners reviewed or commented on the proposed heights to buildings with 'The Lanes'? As local residents are not happy at all with the current suggestions that in may be as high as 20 stories. We feel the cu	Negative	Zoom
	hey seem out of scale with the existing context and will bring a huge increase in population to the area which will need to be supported - in particular commuters. Can you justify how you can define buildings which are proposed to be up to 20 stories in height within 'The Lanes', to be noted as 'quiet' character, don't treat local residents as idiots	Negative	Zoom
	Will make Catford special	Positive	Commonplace
	Your visuals and moodboard do not reflect your actual plans to build 20 storey high rises in this area. No consideration for the existing locals.	Negative	Commonplace
	This plan does not take into consideration existing residents who do not want towering 20 storey building near their homes. Please give some thought and respect to those of us who have to live next door to this. You p	Negative	Commonplace
	As somebody who lives in the surrounding streets, myself and all my neighbours are very upset at the 20 storey building proposal. This is the complete opposite of creating a pleasant, quiet area that is paying respect to	Negative	Commonplace
	the Lanes' is defined saying... "this area could become a quieter residential area to respect adjacent Victorian neighbourhoods", then its goes on to say that buildings could be 20 stories!	Negative	Commonplace
	We are local residents and have attended previous attended local assembly meetings. We generally welcome the regeneration proposals of Catford town centre, although have previously raised concerns with potential	Negative	Commonplace
	I am exceptionally concerned about the potential for 20 storey buildings so close to the existing Victorian homes. This would be completely out of character with the surrounding area and the existing residents whose h	Negative	Commonplace
	It is beyond belief that you want to the monstrosity of Lewisham train station in Catford. High rise buildings up to 20 stories will destroy the character of the area.	Negative	Commonplace
	20 stories?!this is a dreadful idea - it will turn it into the rushed high rise look lewisham has taken on. the first line 'the area is lined by victorian streets' should be enough to highlight that slapping a 20 story building ne	Negative	Commonplace
	There are some nice ideas here but the indicated-up to 20 storeys - is completely out of keeping with the idea of careful regeneration. It will set a precedent and destroy the area for those residents in adjoining streets i	Negative	Commonplace
	Too high rise	Negative	Commonplace
	I don't want the light blighted by 20 storey buildings in Catford centre as there is in Lewisham town centre. I was also disappointed by the drab development that is currently where the old dog track used to be. I would	Negative	Commonplace
	Not in favour of 20 stories. Definitely against.	Negative	Commonplace
	Really shocked that 20 storey buildings are considered.	Negative	Commonplace
	Blinded by profit.	Negative	Commonplace
	Very dense, should have an increase of publicly accessible green space via a functional pocket park.	Negative	Commonplace
	We hear saying that Catford "can begin as early as 2021" the consultation is here: teamcatford.com/listening-to-y... #SE6 We need #SocialRentedHomes	Negative	Socials
	I am very concerned about how tall the buildings will be.	Negative	Commonplace
	Why have local residents not been informed with newsletters about the latest proposals of buildings being up to 20 stories? We live in Rushey Green zone bordering development and none of neighbours have received	Negative	Commonplace
	The idea of a 20 storey housing block on the old Wickes site is a terrible idea!! This type of store is invaluable for Catford residents - there is no equivalent substantial DIY or home tools store accessible by foot for Catfo	Negative	Commonplace
	Terrible idea. 20 storey flats is an eye sore & will take away light from the area. This is unacceptable. Do not recreate what is happening is lewisham. Keep the character of catford.	Negative	Commonplace
	I am pleased at the proposal. It will provide an much needed homes and revamp the area. I'm glad that wickes is going. The area is full of rubbish and drinkers urinating all over the place. For the person claiming there i	Positive	Commonplace
	Potential for a new school? Will this be like the nursery promised for Ferdinand Court that never materialised? Air quality is a major concern. How many residents will there be? Will public travel infrastructure and GP si	Negative	Commonplace
	The person who commented that they are pleased with the proposal, I'm sure doesn't live on the boundary of the proposed 20 storey towers, so yes I'm sure they are pleased! Also using Catford Green development as	Negative	Commonplace
	I agree there is scope for well-designed taller buildings here, however the Council has poor form with some of the crap which has gone up in Lewisham.	Neutral	Commonplace
	There shouldnt be any high rise buildings above the height of the eros building.	Negative	Commonplace
	20 storeys is too high! Please don't make catford another high rise mess like Lewisham!	Negative	Commonplace
	The vision should not include 20 storey high rise buildings which are totally out of proportion and also not desirable accomodation for families and others. Buildings should be 6 storeys max.	Negative	Commonplace
	I think it is a terrible idea. It will add to the traffic problems, increase pressure on local amenities, public services such as doctors, dentists, schools, adding noise pollution and car emissions to our area.	Negative	Commonplace
	Too dense. Will be dark, casting longer shadows than existing buildings and create wind tunnels.	Negative	Commonplace
	Whilst I approve of pedestrianised areas with green spaces I am apalled at the prospect of our predominantly victorian towncentre having at its centre buildings of up to 20 storeys. Earlier public consultations on housir	Negative	Commonplace
	I understand we need to provide more housing in London but the height of these proposed buildings is worrying. Once one high building is built, many others follow - look at Lewisham centre. It changes the environme	Negative	Commonplace
	Ghastly	Negative	Commonplace
	The proposal for the twenty storey housing is I think too tall for the the surrounding area. The height of any new building should be restricted to the current highest buildings in the catford area.	Negative	Commonplace
	20 storey towers at the Lanes is a terrible proposal that will have a negative effect on the whole of Catford. These towers' height is completely out of proportion to the surrounding Victorian houses. They will lead to los	Negative	Commonplace
	The drawings are vague looking and frankly the imagery used makes it look like a soulless corridor. 20 story tower blocks are not in keeping with the local area and have ruined Lewisham. What does 'proposed school' n	Negative	Commonplace
	Investment is needed in centre. Providing materials of high quality and public realm is extraordinary no objection to height. Flexible affordable transparent places for activities please	Neutral	Commonplace
	It will look as "lovely' as the blocks in Lewisham!!	Negative	Commonplace
	Lewisham Gateway was voted one of the worst regenerations in the UK back in 2018, a sentiment many of the Lewisham residents share. Building anything over 6 stories in this area is planning for a similar failure. It wi	Negative	Commonplace
	Building heights should be restricted to no more than 8 storeys as with the catford dogs development. There needs to be a high proportion of social housing - not just 'affordable' which is not affordable for most people	Neutral	Commonplace
	I am very pleased at the proposal. Greater density will lead to more money coming into the area. I do hope alongside this they will increase the facilities available such as playgrounds and green space in small pockets ir	Positive	Commonplace
	No central community facilities, meetings	Negative	Commonplace
	Tall buildings tend to funnel the wind into adjacent streets (as per Glass Mill). There may be less scope for sitting out in the sun than these images imply. One key element has to be allowing free permeation of active tr	Neutral	Commonplace
	Looks great, any hidden skyscrapers 15+ behind the lanes?	Neutral	Commonplace
	20 storey buildings are too high!! This does not respect the surrounding Victorian feel of the rest of the Catford centre with two storey residential houses. As many have said, the photographs show buildings that are 6 :	Negative	Commonplace
	Also regarding bringing an extra 2,700 homes into Catford without either the road transport links being sorted - TfL saying 5-10 years before the gyratory is updated? And before Bakerloo line to Lewisham - and any oth	Negative	Commonplace
	Very much against proposal of up to 20 storey buildings. It will be very imposing, loss of light, cold, drafty air tunnels, shadowing around near by housing, blocking their light. I love that Catford has an open, light feel ab	Negative	Commonplace
	Youve not long built Laurence House at huge public expense and now your going to demolish it! Are you crazy? Terrible waste of money. And we really don't need buildings as tall as 20 storeys. The development in Lewi	Negative	Commonplace

You need to undergo proper consultation on this specific part of the plan ie. 20 storey high rises. The way you have gone about this was increasingly misleading and sneaky. Not only did you completely misrepresent the	Negative	Commonplace
Whoever created these plans for 20 Storey high rises clearly does not live in Catford. You council workers may work in Catford, but you clearly do not understand what is residents want. Please be fair and give us altern	Negative	Commonplace
Revise the plans. Give us alternate option without 20 storey high buildings please. This is the key point in gaining feedback, the negativity and resident pushback on 20 storey high rises is clearly evident. Show us that yc	Negative	Commonplace
There is currently a petition from the residents of Catford not wanting 20 storey high rises. It has many more comments and signatures than this page does. https://www.change.org/p/sadiq-khan-no-to-20-storeys-in-c	Negative	Commonplace
Horrendous	Negative	Commonplace
20 story buildings are far too high as per the numerous other comments, this wil make it a much darker and will date terribly in time, it's not in keeping with the area.	Negative	Commonplace
Team Catford need to address the numerous negative feedback received throughout recent consultation process. This framework proposals is a DRAFT and as such should not be approved until a thorough analysis has	Negative	Commonplace
I understand that new housing is desperately needed in Lewisham and this plan has the potential to deliver both large numbers of new homes and new jobs for the area. However, concerned by Lewisham's track recor	Negative	Commonplace
20 storey buildings are a terrible terrible plan.	Negative	Commonplace
Such a shame the Council has taken this approach and not in-keeping with their previous approaches nor the sensitivity their planning policies call for. Will worsen the blunt facade of the car park that existing on Holbe	Negative	Commonplace
Impressed with the vision for this redevelopment. Multiple people keep mentioning the lewisham gateway, I'm not really sure that this is entirely finished or had time to really settle in. The only thing I dislike about the	Positive	Commonplace
Lanes? Don't you mean high rise buildings? Respect the local houses and the people who live near by please.	Negative	Commonplace
I'll conceived framework proposals with building heights too tall for 'the Lanes' and Catford Town centre in general. Need to go back to the drawing board!	Negative	Commonplace
The proposed building heights are too tall, and should be reassessed taking into consideration the neighbouring buildings. This fundamental assessment needs to be undertaken prior to the framework plan being apprc	Negative	Commonplace
I don't agree with the approach taken, it's simply too tall for the local people and houses that exist. It needs to be lower, I appreciate the need for more housing but I can't agree with the heights as they are, it's just too	Negative	Commonplace
The visualisations used for the Lanes character area, as previously mentioned to Team Catford, indicate the proposed buildings being up to a maximum 12-14 stories in height and not 17-20 stories that it may actual eni	Negative	Zoom
We had some leaflets from a residents' organisation pointing out there are several sites in the catford redevelopment that are planned to include up to 20 storey tower blocks. this would spell the end of local character		
Could you verify if there are any tower blocks over 12 storeys in the current plans and if so how many and where they are to be located?	Negative	Email
1 - The proposed heights of the Lanes seem excessive for the location and neighbouring context and appear over bearing on the historic terraces surrounding the site. Despite being assured otherwise, these seem like v	Negative	Email
Currently Milford Towers is a 3-storey podium with 5 storey towers in sensitive locations which allows south light to the northern Victorian terraces. The proposals appear to indicate 20 storey towers surrounded by 12-	Negative	Email
Why are such big towers being proposed here and how do they really relate and respect the surrounding Victorian terraces as the proposals claim they do? Noting respect for the terraces is a requirement set out by Le	Negative	Email
Have light factors been assessed for the effect on the Victorian houses to the north and west? Can these be made available as part of the consultation if they have in order to allow people to fully understand the impact	Neutral	Email
Generally, there is some anger in the local community regarding the Lanes proposal as it feels like it has been miss-sold in previous consultation events eg confirming there will be no towers from consultation represent	Negative	Email
6 - The proposals show the end of Thomas Lane becoming left turn only - this is surely going to cause issues for all the residents living in the streets to the north limiting ways out onto the main road network and preven	Negative	Email
8 - As above, the Yards / Lanes facing the north and west existing terraces are discussed as stepping down in order to respect the Victorian terraces neighbours by reducing in scale to circa 12 storeys - how is this respec	Negative	Email
however there are some serious concerns in regards to the heights of the proposals especially around the Lanes area and how this will feel at ground, as well as how this will impact on existing residents in the area in re	Negative	Email
I am concerned about the Lanes and the heights of the proposed towers and proximity they have to each other. From reading through the Draft Framework, these range from 1.5x the height of the existing Milford Towe	Negative	Email
You mention 20 storey towers in the Lanes, however Draft Framework document also states more 20 storey towers at Plassy Island and Ravensbourne Quarter which have not been mentioned - can you talk more about	Negative	Email
8 (Numbered 7) - Agree, Milford Towers is blunt, though the proposed heights will also be blunt no matter what the design and detail is, you can't avoid this when the neighbouring building is set to be 4x to 6x the heigl	Negative	Email
1 - The proposed heights of the Lanes seem excessive for the location and neighbouring context and appear over bearing on the historic terraces surrounding the site. Despite being assured otherwise, these seem like v	Negative	Email
Currently Milford Towers is a 3-storey podium with 5 storey towers in sensitive locations which allows south light to the northern Victorian terraces. The proposals appear to indicate 20 storey towers surrounded by 12-	Negative	Email
Generally, there is some anger in the local community regarding the Lanes proposal as it feels like it has been miss-sold in previous consultation events eg confirming there will be no towers from consultation represent	Negative	Email
How will the spaces around the base of the towers be designed to deal with wind channelled by the towers;	Neutral	Email
How will the spaces around the base of the towers be designed to ensure life and vibrancy at this level is created and maintained; to ensure they feel safe at night when the shops have closed?	Neutral	Email
8 - As above, the Yards / Lanes facing the north and west existing terraces are discussed as stepping down in order to respect the Victorian terraces neighbours by reducing in scale to circa 12 storeys - how is this respec	Negative	Email
I am concerned about the Lanes and the heights of the proposed towers and proximity they have to each other. From reading through the Draft Framework, these range from 1.5x the height of the existing Milford Towe	Negative	Email
As a resident in the area just to the north I fear this is going to be oppressive and affect my light and privacy in my private garden that will be visible from these towers and I am surprised that heights as tall of these are	Negative	Email
You mention 20 storey towers in the Lanes, however Draft Framework document also states more 20 storey towers at Plassy Island and Ravensbourne Quarter which have not been mentioned - can you talk more about	Neutral	Email
You have built new flats in catford area in thomes lane there's flats there that need a lot of work done to them the wall cracking up they said they was coming down years ago nothing happened they had some work do	Negative	Email
2) new 20 storey tower blocks in the Lanes and the Wickes site would be completely out of character for the area, the height of those at Catford Green would be much more acceptable. In any case such tall blocks are u	Negative	Email
I would like further clarification why a 'Central' density is now being applied to 'The Lanes' site instead of an 'Urban' density, as this has changed from the current Local Plan, Lewisham Core Strategies and the Lewisham	Negative	Email

MORE PUBLIC SPACE

Organisation (if specified)	Extract of relevant comment	Sentiment	Source
	Creating places for residents to walk and socialise	Positive	Commonplace
	Brilliant	Positive	Commonplace
	I don't like that they have shut some of the road access in catford to ease traffic flow it doesn't it just pushes traffic elsewhere	Negative	Commonplace
	Should have spaces with strong identity, definitive purpose and vibrancy of intended uses	Positive	Commonplace
	A huge aesthetic improvement	Positive	Commonplace
	I think it looks good but having lots of space where people relax right next to busy roads with noise and emissions etc without any proper landscaping doesn't seem sensible?	Negative	Commonplace
	It's hard not to agree with the proposed improvement to the public realm. But there are things the council can do now to show that it's serious about improving Catford.	Neutral	Commonplace
	The library should be a priority. If there our going to be 6-7k more people living in Catford they will need more space.	Neutral	Commonplace
	The idea of increased, pedestrian focused spaces is fantastic,	Positive	Commonplace
	Public spaces would be nice, makes sure they each have a distinct character and use as shown, otherwise there will just be a lot of public space.	Positive	Commonplace
	I like the idea of more public space, but I agree it needs to have a distinct atmosphere to set it apart from the other public spaces proposed	Positive	Commonplace
	There may be less scope for sitting out in the sun than these images imply.	Neutral	Commonplace
	Nobody will want to sit at ground level in a park which gets no sunlight and is in a wind tunnel between high rise flats.	Negative	Commonplace
	Possible confusing hierarchy of open spaces - ie too many, with 'leaky edges' and questionable rationale for food court & kiosks location (why not consolidated in new open space to Broadway ?	Neutral	Commonplace
	Public spaces would be nice, makes sure they each have a distinct character and use as shown, otherwise there will just be a lot of public space.	Positive	Commonplace
	Having additional public space will be a good addition to the Catford, though I agree that different areas need a different feel to make them special	Positive	Commonplace
	No community facilities, meeting, cinema etc	Negative	Commonplace
	Assume the proposed garden spaces on the tower podiums will not be public?	Negative	Email

I'm behind all of it, if there is sense applied especially to parking and things which facilitate shoppers coming into Rushey Green. tell Lewisham Council to hire the same consultants and designers who did Brixton, case c	Positive	Email
How will we deal with parking, which is already an issue in the catford conservation areas, if we are making the town more environmentally friendly.	Neutral	Email
7. you need to differentiate between "public realm" and pathways through.....or you will loose space for amenity. And puclic realm does not mean one or 2 benches and a planter, unless they are a part of a wider gree	Negative	Email
The sooner we start to implement changes in the public realm the better.	Neutral	Email
The site needs to allow for sufficient open/green space for occupiers to have outside space "on their doorstep"; this means less ground space available for building. Such a mix will encourage community spirit. The plan	Negative	Email
Assume the proposed garden spaces on the tower podiums will not be public?	Negative	Email

A NEW CULTURAL AND CIVIC HUB

Organisation (if specified)	Extract of relevant comment	Sentiment	Source
	Love the scale.		
	Very pleased about the Broadway Theatre being retained and upgraded.		
	Looks good		
	This looks good, the library should be a priority.		
	This is good and the library is in much need of being better connected and increased. This needs to have a properly feel of identity - there are a lot of public spaces that are in danger of blending into insignificance if they are not given an individuality to define them. Leveling the		
	Like a good library, generally happy		
	Nice to see a revamp of the community buildings. A good library will be great for those local as well as better retail		
	16 - Adding frontages to the theatre side of the Broadway is a great idea and will help strengthen its appeal.		
	The Cat. The Cat in Catford belongs to us the people. I grew up looking at that cat. Scared of it when I was small, appreciating its artistry now. You should not dispose of it. Yes I understand that you may think it's a bit old school, but I suggest having it rendered and painted by a		
	Also It would look awesome if positioned close to your high walkway... just near enough to see close up, but too far to touch. It would look awesome and provide a requisite focal/talking point. Please don't get rid of it. It is a part of Catford/National heritage and part of the co		
	I think the proposed workspace and council buildings look very positive and the bike lanes on the south Circular would be fantastic if they happen.		

RESTORING THE CATFORD CONSTITUTIONAL CLUB

Organisation (if specified)	Extract of relevant comment	Sentiment	Source
	Please let the Catford Constitutional continue to be a space for people to socialise in the evenings, I know people who were attracted to the area from across South London just to come here by the good music and gre;	Positive	Commonplace
	Cooking School seems like a great idea.	Positive	Commonplace
	Great set up. Building & bar	Positive	Commonplace
	I loved the old building and the way it was used with different rooms with different atmospheres providing what you needed whether a drink, gathering or meeting. Please ensure this is still possible and that the charac	Positive	Commonplace
	Give it character and keep the charm it had. Don't give it a working mans club feel and retain the character, use and size the pub previously had	Neutral	Commonplace
	I fear this will not keep the character of the building and the heart of the community it had gained. I hope I am wrong. This needs to be sensitive and considerate to the building and the elements that made it special. I ;	Neutral	Commonplace
	This is a good plan and could be an important community hub	Positive	Commonplace
	It should return to its former use as a pub, run by the same team if they're still keen to be involved. It was such a great community space with an unrivalled atmosphere and really made people want to come to Catford.	Negative	Commonplace
	Should definitely be a pub with food and not a cooking school. Lewisham is sorely in need of nice pub/ restaurant spaces. All the ones in Lewisham were demolished for development. Should work with victorian society	Negative	Commonplace
	Please bring back the CCC as a pub, and integrate it into the surrounding area, as it was a fantastic space and community asset. However it should not be totally overshadowed by high-rise buildings all around,	Negative	Commonplace
	It would be great if the CCC came back as a pub, like it was. It was a lovely place to gather and have a drink and good food	Neutral	Commonplace
	Cooking School seems like a great idea.	Positive	Commonplace
	5 - In regards to Thomas Lane, has consideration been given to how busy this can get especially in rush hours? Currently this is very busy during these times, as well as during others in the week, with cars queuing back	Negative	Email
	9 - The separation of the CCC to Thomas Lane needs to be considered noting the above regarding traffic. Many of the previous consultation comments of its use and retention relate to its character and free flowing spa	Negative	Email
	13 - How will the refurbished CCC be used during the period between of its works and that of the surrounding Lanes and Yards given it is do to be ready much earlier (2023)?	Neutral	Email
	Yeah, a vibrant town centre. Over the last 18 months, Little Nan's got kicked out, Catford Constitutional Club closed down and I hear from one of the traders that it's unlikely that the monthly food market will come bac	Negative	Socials
	This is good, be great to have it back. I hope you will secure a sensitive conservation architect for this element of the works and not just as a consultant. The current architects and their specialties do not appear to the	Positive	Email
	The separation of the CCC to Thomas Lane needs to be considered noting the above regarding traffic.	Neutral	Email
	Many of the previous consultation comments of its use and retention relate to its character and free flowing spaces with varying rooms creating different atmospheres. If it is going to be a successful community hub ag	Neutral	Email
	I can't quite understand how the station improvements and refurbishing the CC Club will make a real difference in the town centre, nice to have but a priority?	Negative	Email

THE YARDS

Organisation (if specified)	Extract of relevant comment	Sentiment	Source
	Looks cool	Positive	Commonplace
	Excellent	Positive	Commonplace
	Looks good	Positive	Commonplace
	A cargo bike hub will be quite useful for the businesses in the Yards. Think 15-minute city deliveries.	Positive	Socials
	Positive elements: The improved ground level permeability and legibility; Pedestrian priority; Mix of uses with flexible commercial including affordable workshops, Screening rear of houses that back on to Thomas Lane	Neutral	Commonplace
	I am not happy with the height of the buildings. I am terrified that these high risers will turn us into a Lewisham Legoland.	Negative	Commonplace
	12 story building are too high for Catford	Negative	Commonplace
	I want to know more about how tall these buildings are proposed to be - 3-12 storeys is not very clear. I wouldn't want any buildings higher than 8 -9 storeys (Eros building is 9 storeys) it should not exceed the heights o	Negative	Commonplace
	The proposal seems completely out of scale with the surrounding area and will create a wind tunnel around 'the yards'. Existing traders in the area will be priced out in the hope of persuading a few 'trendy' businesses i	Negative	Commonplace
	Like the idea of small start up units for for business, although these need to be affordable so small sole traders/locals get to use them. My concern again would be how high the buildings will be, 8/9 storey max please !	Positive	Commonplace
	It is important that the new homes have private outdoor space and everyone isn't on top of one another.	Neutral	Commonplace
	12 storeys should be the absolute limit on height	Negative	Commonplace
	The ideas behind the Yards is good though the framework does not seem to align with the verbal and written description. I am concerned it will close at 5pm and be yet another area for antisocial behaviour, instead of	Neutral	Commonplace
	Looks great, but don't make it soulless and quiet at night Like the Catford Centre is currently	Positive	Commonplace
	I like the idea of an open space the community can use but concerned with comments that this might not be full of life past 5pm - please ensure it's a place to enjoy at all times of the day	Neutral	Commonplace
	Aside from disliking the tall buildings , I like the lanes, shops, open space areas, small units for business use.	Positive	Commonplace
	5 - In regards to Thomas Lane, has consideration been given to how busy this can get especially in rush hours? Currently this is very busy during these times, as well as during others in the week, with cars queuing back	Negative	Email
	8 - As above, the Yards / Lanes facing the north and west existing terraces are discussed as stepping down in order to respect the Victorian terraces neighbours by reducing in scale to circa 12 storeys - how is this respec	Negative	Email

rear of the civic building to match Broadway is good.

3 pro artist? Imagine it painted in gun-metal black all over, or in chrome, or even multi coloured.

community/borough memory. I'm sure I won't be alone. It matters to us. Make it a part of your plans please.

I think the proposed workspace and council buildings look very positive	Positive	Email
5 - In regards to Thomas Lane, has consideration been given to how busy this can get especially in rush hours? Currently this is very busy during these times, as well as during others in the week, with cars queuing back	Negative	Email
8 - The Yards / Lanes facing the north and west existing terraces are discussed as stepping down in order to respect the Victorian terraces neighbours by reducing in scale to circa 12 storeys - how is this respectful when	Negative	Email
Lewisham has lost a significant provision of workspace for artists and makers ironically through its own planning policies. I was ask to write a report on this a few years back for the borough. I success of any project is ea	Negative	Email

RUSHEY GREEN

Organisation (if specified)	Extract of relevant comment	Sentiment	Source
	The idea of left turn only from Thomas Lane is ill conceived. It will impact a large number of Rushey Green residents who live in the streets behind and need to head west on the South Circular Horrible.		
	Capital House needs to be redeveloped		
	Support better utilisation of the existing building framework to increase housing with enhancement of more pedestrian and green spaces to create community .		
	Have we forgotten how many people objected to the proposed 19 storey building at Catford station ? Now it's happening again.		
	Rushey Green would benefit from a completely new front facade. It is currently such a mishmash of architectural styles and it doesn't really inspire much awe. The remaining Victorian buildings are the nicest and should be preserved, but everything else - not just Capital Hous		
	No central community facilities, cinema, meeting,		
	The current wide pavements should be preserved, with substantial limitations on vehicle movements and parking.		
	The proposal talks of new housing. I would like to know how many of these will be social housing (the number in absolute terms as a percentage of new housing and how this compares with current levels s of social housing within this development area) . I make a clear distinc		
	Again, height wise, it should fit in with existing buildings, 19 storeys way too high		
	Community gardens are very important and should be thought about in other areas of the development.		
	Good start, but more communal gardens and green space should be introduced. Concerned about the high rises being proposed opposite and in replacement of the Aldi. Also, where will the Aldi go? Please ensure those who live in the streets to the west of Rushey Green can		
	Be interested to find out what the proposals actually are for this as it appears less thought about.		
	Make it more pleasant than it is, the shops are just too close to the busy road - it needs more green though less info seems available for this in the info available so not sure.		
	10 - Could the existing green spaces on Rushey Green / A21 be strengthened to make the shops along this road become more pleasant and sheltered from the road as is proposed elsewhere - it would be a shame it these shops were forgotten about and let to become underus		
	I'm behind all of it, if there is sense applied especially to parking and things which facilitate shoppers coming into Rushey Green. tell Lewisham Council to hire the same consultants and designers who did Brixton, case closed.		
	7 (Numbered 6) - Again this is not my experience but as I think it is little used, doubt it will be an issue. Closing off sides streets such as Doggett would segregate those who live in Rushey Green to the extent that it would be nearly impossible to access the residential area so gl		
	10 - Could the existing green spaces on Rushey Green / A21 be strengthened to make the shops along this road become more pleasant and sheltered from the road as is proposed elsewhere - it would be a shame it these shops were forgotten about and let to become underus		

RAVENSBOURNE QUARTER

Organisation (if specified)	Extract of relevant comment	Sentiment	Source
	Subject to those caveats, the Ravensbourne Quarter is precisely the location for tall buildings, but these need a slender footprint, rather than the slab footprints on the indicative plan and as used to the north at Catford	Positive	Commonplace
	20 storeys can easily be well designed, and accommodated on this site, as a contrast to the established wider area of Catford, already characterised by literally many square miles of low rise housing which has a long fu	Neutral	Commonplace
	Equally I don't understand why on other sites in Catford Town Centre the tall buildings are in the middle of a plot, whereas here they are right on the road, very close to two storey houses on Ravensbourne Road.	Negative	Commonplace
	The height information for the towers on the Wickes site are missing / not clear on the diagrams / key miss-labelled. It appears some of these are also 20 storeys - again this feel far too much for the area, especially wh	Negative	Email
	You mention 20 storey towers in the Lanes, however Draft Framework document also states more 20 storey towers at Plassy Island and Ravensbourne Quarter which have not been mentioned - can you talk more about	Negative	Email
	Lots of applications for installation of masts. Is this in keeping with Catford being 'green'	Neutral	Email
	I have a follow up question about the plans for the Waterlink Way along the Ravensbourne Quarter. The map at https://catfordframework.commonplace.is/proposals/getting-around seems to indicate that the Catford	Negative	Email
	There is a danger of the tall towers compromising what is a well considered and interesting scheme.	Negative	Email
	Without the towers one might have enough green space and public amenity to create a meaningful green masterplan, using green rooves, active facades, permeable surfaces, trees in adequate soil volume etc. the gree	Negative	Email
	Too much given the issues with Catford Green, surely should be limited to 8 storeys as that was or just more?	Negative	Email
	Opening up the river is a great idea, as is landscaping the whole environment to make it attractive and relaxing for residents and visitors. A carefully crafted scaling down of the height of blocks from north to south will	Positive	Email
	However, it is essential that the tallest blocks are no higher than the existing new block between the stations, especially given the strength of previous local opposition to Barratts proposed 19 storey tower.	Negative	Email
	Ok but seems tall. Lots of extra people using facilities so expanded ones and improved infrastructure needs to be provided. Should be in keeping with Catford Green heights, not double this.	Negative	Email
	Again the building marked number 1 looks like it could be quite high, obviously it should be no higher than the tallest building on the Barrett's site as it would tower over the other residential properties in the area as w	Negative	Email
	It would also block the view from the Barrett's development.	Negative	Email
	I think they should remain in line with the surrounding area and no higher.	Negative	Email
	I have concerns about the proposed 17-20 storey heights of buildings in the Ravensbourne Quarter as outlined in the Master Plan. These would be more than twice the height of the Catford Green buildings opposite th	Negative	Email
	Lower level housing fine, new shops good, open spaces, linking into the river sounds great, combining this with space for walking and cycling into the existing paths	Positive	Email
	The design pictures show the site over-developed with buildings too high. If increased walking and cycling is an aim, I don't see the Quarter being attractive to walkers and cyclists with the shown building density.	Negative	Email
	I am all for opening up the river and having *low-rise* residential buildings on the site where Halfords/ Wickes currently are however emphatically against any high rise buildings there -we do not want this to look like L	Negative	Email
	See above - no high rise blocks in Ravensbourne Quarter - I hate the artist's impression - it looks like Deptford Creek area, which is horrible.	Negative	Email
	Good	Positive	Email
	I think both areas are ripe for regeneration, Ravensbourne Quarter in particular.	Positive	Email
	But it any regeneration has to be sympathetic to the current feel of Catford town centre, and building heights should not exceed the current height of Milford Towers/ Catford Green.	Negative	Email
	More space should be given to the river, the banks should be naturalised rather than encased in concrete.	Neutral	Email
	There should be some plan to use the rail in a commercial capacity as this has been a success in places like Deptford.	Neutral	Email
	Finally, there is a lot of green space wilderness to the west of river Pool. Given that Catford will increase in size, consideration should be given to opening this space to the general public.	Positive	Email
	2 - The height information for the towers on the Wickes site are missing / not clear on the diagrams / key miss-labelled. It appears some of these are also 20 storeys - again this feel far too much for the area, especially	Negative	Email
	You mention 20 storey towers in the Lanes, however Draft Framework document also states more 20 storey towers at Plassy Island and Ravensbourne Quarter which have not been mentioned - can you talk more about	Negative	Email
	2) new 20 storey tower blocks in the Lanes and the Wickes site would be completely out of character for the area, the height of those at Catford Green would be much more acceptable. In any case such tall blocks are u	Negative	Email
	I understand that the designs show stepping so that most of the 20 storey blocks, but not all will be surrounded by medium rise buildings. All will impact the horizon and there will be possible wind tunnels and other ad	Negative	Email
	Ravensbourne Quarter - proven poor air quality for prospective residents 6.1 From the CTCF the tallest buildings on the Lanes and Plassy Island are in the middle of the site, however at the Ravensbourne Quarter, I do	Negative	Email
	Creating 20 storey blocks here will further stress this site and be very obtrusive to the surrounding area which is all low-rise making it very dark, affecting houses on Ravensbourne Road opposite and completely changi	Negative	Email

PLASSY ISLAND

Organisation (if specified)	Extract of relevant comment	Sentiment	Source
-----------------------------	-----------------------------	-----------	--------

e - shall be re-done in a single consistent style. This would really enhance Catford centre's 'sense of arrival'.

:tion between social housing and "affordable" housing.

keep accessing the streets by vehicles, the proposed bike / cycle lanes adjustments and limited turning that is proposed imminently will limit this and these proposals affecting the southern access endangers this. Rushey Green and Catford should consider having an unified signage approach to make it more unified.

sed in light on new fresher shops. Some new trees would help.

ad that is not occurring, especially as the proposed works on the A21 seems to be doing this already (which I have been made aware of not by the Council, but through the press when the featured an article saying it had been delayed on the day it was meant to be commencing... but that's not regarding this framework).

sed in light on new fresher shops. Some new trees would help.

You mention 20 storey towers in the Lanes, however Draft Framework document also states more 20 storey towers at Plassy Island and Ravensbourne Quarter which have not been mentioned - can you talk more about	Negative	Email
Furthermore, the Framework does not acknowledge the different timescales within which the different sites at Plassy Island are coming forward and the physical and legal constraints to the form of development that	Negative	Email
Similar, the 17-20 storey towers is so tall given everything is 8 or 9 storeys currently. It will be so imposing with this, the proposed Ravensbourne Quarter and the proposed Lanes to those who already live here and live	Negative	Email
Given the overall context and the existing approval for a further eight storey block the tallest building on the Island should be no more than 10 or 12 storeys at the very most.	Neutral	Email
Similar to Ravensbourne Quarter. Lots of extra people and no firm commitment to infrastructure improvements. Very close to existing terrace (like the Lanes).	Negative	Email
I can't see how the precedent of a 9 storey building and permission for an 8 storey building leads on to the idea that a 16 storey building might be appropriate - too high.	Negative	Email
Similarly Passy Island should not mirror the high rises in Lewisham.	Negative	Email
Positive, but concerned by indicative heights for the largest buildings on the site (up to twice the height of Catford's tallest existing buildings).	Positive	Email
Like the idea of large open space there but again building height should stay on the lower side, max 9 storey as per Eros house	Negative	Email
For Plassy Island the proposal is confusing. Either the proposal is as quoted above, "flexible open spaces at the centre of Plassy Island" or "it is considered there is an opportunity for an additional tall building - up to 16	Negative	Email
The concept that Plassy Island needs developing is positive. However the ideas for Plassy Island need to be reconsidered. 16 storeys is too high. This is nearly 50% higher than Eros House at 9 storeys; 10 storeys for this	Negative	Email
Great to have more green space	Positive	Email
No to high rise blocks - we do not want high rise blocks in Catford	Negative	Email
Good but would like more detail	Positive	Email
Currently the connectivity of Plassy Island to the centre is poor due to the number of traffic lanes that need to be crossed. Has any consideration been given to placing that stretch of Rushey Green (and/or Circular thro	Positive	Email
For Plassy Island, how 17-20 storeys can be considered acceptable to the Council when the 8 storey tower it will sit next to only got through on appeal is beyond me, especially as it will be closer to the 2 storey terraces	Negative	Email
You mention 20 storey towers in the Lanes, however Draft Framework document also states more 20 storey towers at Plassy Island and Ravensbourne Quarter which have not been mentioned - can you talk more about	Negative	Email
The Plassy Island site, for example, is far too small a plot to hold a building of such size without it being damagingly conspicuous. No amount of smaller high-rise buildings surrounding it, to guide the eye-line upwards, c	Negative	Email

OPENING UP THE RIVER

Organisation (if specified)	Extract of relevant comment	Sentiment	Source
	The river is such an asset to Catford. Great that people will be able to enjoy it more.	Positive	Commonplace
	I completely support this idea	Positive	Commonplace
	Looks good	Positive	Commonplace
	Fully support opening up the river and having a pleasant linear route from Lewisham, Ladywell, Catford and beyond.	Positive	Commonplace
	I love this idea. The river is such a beautiful part of Catford life. Hope this is done in a way that best supports and respects river fauna and flora.	Positive	Commonplace
	This is a wonderful proposal, the river area is teeming with birds and offers a green lung. The current underpass from Catford Bridge station is squalid and can feel unsafe. Linking the two areas and opening up the river	Positive	Commonplace
	This is a great idea and it will be fantastic for the river to be uncovered.	Positive	Commonplace
	I love the idea however of opening up access to the river, and adding new retail and retail socialising opportunities; cafes and event spaces	Positive	Commonplace
	Yes excellent proposal to use and enhance natural assets. Keep heading in this direction please and consider how public realm proposals can create and invite opportunities for community to experience asset e.g. touc	Positive	Commonplace
	Opening up the river here is essential, but it needs to incorporate genuine bank naturalisation, not as at Lewisham Gateway, or even at Catford Green, where the river is still too enclosed in concrete channels. It will als	Positive	Commonplace
	I think opening up the river is a great idea, and making much more of this natural environment. However I really worry about having 20 storey blocks, which is much too tall for this fairly small, slim site.	Positive	Commonplace
	This has the potential to be an amazing space and the opening up of the river is really exciting.	Positive	Commonplace
	It would be nice to see the river and I like the idea, but please ensure it doesn't end up like it is currently in catford and ensure it's feels like a safe, well designed space	Positive	Commonplace
	Just do it tastefully and don't make it gross, don't make it a space that will attract antisocial behaviour that's there current	Positive	Commonplace
	We also don't want this height of building in Ravensbourne Quarter - where you will open the river up to be completely overshadowed by the highrise buildings.	Negative	Commonplace
	This is a good opportunity to under the wrongs of the past. Uncovering this will be a great asset, though in the shadow of 20 storey buildings, it is unlikely to be that pleasant. Planning policies highlight the issues with c	Positive	Commonplace
	This is a great idea and I imagine might help with to avoid flooding but don't know much about the environmental implications	Positive	Commonplace
	River Ravensbourne must function as an eco-corridor; that concern wasn't addressed when I attended a meeting at the C.S.	Positive	Email
	I believe the CTCF contains many positive aspects, and the goals for a green, vibrant and accessible town centre are excellent and admirable, as is opening up the Ravensbourne River and seeking to retain Catford's unic	Positive	Email

TALL BUILDINGS

Organisation (if specified)	Extract of relevant comment	Sentiment	Source
	A question - how do all the high rise buildings respect the massing of the surrounding buildings? Lewisham town centre is full of high rise buildings and it feels bleak.	Negative	Zoom
	How are the 12 to 20 story blocks respecting the historical context of the victorian terraces in close proximity to the site? E.g loss of privacy and light caused by the blocks and overlooking from them?	Neutral	Zoom
	this is not modest scale, it is very similar to the overdeveloped Lewisham regeneration... can we please stop pretending to residents to say that it is something which is not. What is now being proposed is a high-density	Negative	Zoom
	5-7 stories is not a town-house, as mentioned by Sarah	Negative	Zoom
	Please note, a number of residents are architects and planners that are not involved with this project and not in agreement with the height of buildings up to 20 stories.. Please ensure the architects/ councilors/develo	Negative	Zoom
	Could we get further clarification on towers heights and what will be done to avoid transforming Catfrod Centre into a smaller denser Lewsisham? It feels like "a couple" of 20 storey buildings (plus some other tall build	Negative	Zoom
	I can understand the need for increased housing and taller buildings, however 20 stories seems excessive. A few years ago we had an unprecedented community response to a single 19 storey building.	Negative	Zoom
	can appreciate the need for taller towers to make the regeneration cost effective.	Neutral	Zoom
	I am concerned that we may end up with 20 storey buildings but not the green space / extra primary school / re-routing of the South Circular / community space. How can we guarantee we don't get part of the regener	Negative	Zoom
	Really concerned about the wind tunnel affect with so many high buildings. In reality it prevents people sitting outside on windy days, which are more than most people think.	Negative	Zoom
	also the taller the building . the mroe shade will be cast on surrounding agrdens. The habitat loss through shading is ineveitable. how can this be countered with habitat creation	Negative	Zoom
	The image you show of high rise blocks does not look like a characterful town centre.	Negative	Zoom
	Dear team at hello Catford		
	We had some leaflets from a residents' organisation pointing out there are several sites in the catford redevelopment that are planned to include up to 20 storey tower blocks. this would spell the end of local character		
	Could you verify if there are any tower blocks over 12 storeys in the current plans and if so how many and where they are to be located?		
	Thank you		
	Katja	Negative	Email
	Please find below our comments and queries in light of the recent framework document prepared for consultation. There are a number of queries, and generally the redevelopment looks like it will be a fantastic benefi	Negative	Email
	Currently Milford Towers is a 3-storey podium with 5 storey towers in sensitive locations which allows south light to the northern Victorian terraces. The proposals appear to indicate 20 storey towers surrounded by 12+	Negative	Email
	Why are such big towers being proposed here and how do they really relate and respect the surrounding Victorian terraces as the proposals claim they do? Noting respect for the terraces is a requirement set out by Le	Negative	Email
	How will the towers ensure they are not overlooking their new neighbouring towers - they appear to be in very close proximity and against many current recommended practices.	Negative	Email
	The proposals are for towers which appear will be taller than those been built in Lewisham.	Negative	Email

Have the protected local views been taken into consideration when proposing these heights - if feels a block of towers at those heights will only do harm to those described in the Council's policies and not protect these	Negative	Email
8 - As above, the Yards / Lanes facing the north and west existing terraces are discussed as stepping down in order to respect the Victorian terraces neighbours by reducing in scale to circa 12 storeys - how is this respect	Negative	Email
I am emailing to give my opinion on the Ravensbourne Quarter site. I read that high density buildings up to 20 stories may be built on the site. While I understand housing is in need, as a Catford resident, I am strongly	Negative	Email
however there are some serious concerns in regards to the heights of the proposals especially around the Lanes area and how this will feel at ground, as well as how this will impact on existing residents in the area in re	Negative	Email
I am concerned about the Lanes and the heights of the proposed towers and proximity they have to each other. From reading through the Draft Framework, these range from 1.5x the height of the existing Milford Tow	Negative	Email
Why have you not providing newsletters to local residents to state the proposals may be up to 20 stories in height? I live in Rushey Green and not received any newsletters. I have spoken to many others in the neighbou	Negative	Email
why dont you answer and tricky questions such as height.... I have been to previous consultations and Team Catford only answer simply questions on cycles and other questions which have already been made clear in p	Negative	Email
Have the PLanning department commented on the proposed heights of buildings in the town masterplan?	Negative	Email
The diagrams of the character areas are VERY misleading, they are not shown any where close to 20 stories, and give the public the notation that the development is not too severe...	Negative	Email
What are estimated storey sizes in Ravensbourne area?		
Lots of applications for installation of masts. Is this in keeping with Catford being 'green'	Neutral	Email
I am contacting you as an extremely concerned member of the Catford (SE6) community. Many of us local residents have just discovered that the Framework proposal for the Catford Regeneration Project includes the €	Negative	Email
We would like a compromise on the maximum height of buildings in our community. Since the Council was so helpful and vocal in supporting my community by not allowing Catford Gardens developers to put up buildi	Negative	Email
We have mostly been aware of the Regeneration Project but we were all assured, by TeamCatford, that the Catford skyline would remain a low one - an essential component to our unique character of a proud, close-kn	Negative	Email
We do not want 20-storey buildings in the heart of Catford!	Negative	Email
1. there is a danger of the tall towers compromising what is a well considered and interesting scheme.	Negative	Email
The two tower proposed would destroy a potentially nice area adjacent to the station. They also sit uncomfortably close to the road. This has happened here at lewisham gateway. Getting from a to be involves close co	Negative	Email
4.. why can developers not have less buildings of greater gorth and lower height, and a really comfortable green space with soil volume trees and high amanity value, by the station for example. By have these south faci	Negative	Email
Casting shadow amd massing at height right next to the station seems to me to be ill thought out. Catford will never be coal drops yard. It could however beat lewisham and be a really lovely well considered award willi	Negative	Email
Received today. Local residents seem concerned about building heights @TeamCatford how about an engagement to understand proposed heights? Or 4-6 pg summary leaflet distributed locally to raise the awareness ;		
	Negative	Socials
Hi Team Catford. I've just seen that the current plans allow for buildings up to 20 stories in the town centre. This fact seems to have been glossed over but buildings of anywhere near this size would utterly destroy the t	Negative	Socials
Can we zoom out a little here and see the plans for the skyline? This cropping isn't fooling anyone! What is the maximum height of the towers planned for this development ? We hear 20 storey high monstrosities are d	Negative	Socials
Would you like to zoom out a little on these plans and show us the plans for the skyline? This cropping isn't fooling anyone! We hear that our two storey homes are about to be overshadowed by 20 storey towers in the	Negative	Socials
Building 20 storey apartment blocks is not very green, when Catford is mainly quite low rise	Negative	Socials
That Looks great as do a lot of the plans shown - but, what about the tower block plans that have sneaked into the Catford planning? Previously the idea had been to keep Catford to lower level housing and not to repe	Negative	Socials
Regarding building heights.		
I understand the need for increased housing and taller buildings, however 20 storeys seems excessive especially considering the unprecedented public response to one 19 storey build a few years ago. Surely there must	Negative	Socials
In an era where councils not just in the UK but worldwide are abandoning the high-rise living accommodation this seems a backward and lazy step. The hope is that any development will lead to large groups of the com	Negative	Socials
Keep the towers lower than 10 stores.		
Lewisham dose not work as a space.	Negative	Socials
Imagine having a massive tower at the end of your residential street garden.....	Negative	Socials
Please rethink 20 storey high buildings. It's a terrible idea.	Negative	Socials
What you see isn't what you get' but they're 'guidelines that developers will use to draw up planning applications. Be afraid, be very afraid - this means heights can go up #SE6 sign up and challenge we says https://tear	Negative	Socials
I agree 100%.		
The 'Framework Plan' is all about massing up residential units as the No. 1 priority and no one is falling for the 'green dream' guff involving knocking down and starting afresh.		
Locals filled the Civic Suite over Barratts' 17 storey plan and will do the same again.	Negative	Socials
they have falsely stated that catford conversations nov/Dec 2020 has been issued to all Rushey Green / Catford ward residents at the end of 2020... I have checked this issue online, and there is no mention of any buildi	Negative	Socials
it is a scandal, how they are getting away with showing visualisations and also using them in consultation meetings, describing buildings being up to 20 stories in height, altho these images are at least 4-5 stories short c	Negative	Socials
We had oppose the plans of monotonous towers going up in Catford back in 2019 (see here); We don't need another Lewisham central thanks #Socialrentedhomes	Negative	Socials
Shame about the huge tower blocks	Negative	Socials
I don't believe 20 storey blocks in the town centre would meet new housing development rules either which are supposed to meet local standards of beauty, quality and design. They would stick out like a sore thumb. /	Negative	Socials
Can we reach a compromise on the height of the buildings? Since the Council was so helpful and vocal in supporting this community by not allowing Catford Gardens developers to put up buildings in excess of 8 storeys	Neutral	Email
The council needs to present a range of options and have a balanced debate that clearly defines what a reduction in residential tower heights would mean in terms of the wider project. Increasing density is not necessa	Neutral	Email
Catford in the long term should remain somewhere that people want to live. These high rises would have a negative impact on those living in close-proximity to the site and also impact on the area's character – which f	Negative	Email
These proposed high-rises also go against many of Lewisham Council's own previous studies and characterisation plans - all of which state that high rises would not work in Catford Town Centre locations for multiple re	Negative	Email
Generally pleased with the direction of proposed development for both these areas, but concerned about the possible height of the residential blocks. We don't want Catford to end up looking like Lewisham Town Cent		Email
However, it is essential that the tallest blocks are no higher than the existing new block between the stations, especially given the strength of previous local opposition to Barratts proposed 19 storey tower.	Negative	Email
Given the overall context and the existing approval for a further eight storey block the tallest building on the Island should be no more than 10 or 12 storeys at the very most.	Negative	Email
Should be in keeping with Catford Green heights, not double this.	Negative	Email
The height of some of the tallest buildings looks excessive.	Negative	Email
I can't see how the precedent of a 9 storey building and permission for an 8 storey building leads on to the idea that a 16 storey building might be appropriate - too high.	Negative	Email
Please consider building in the style of the development across the road at Catford Green and no higher when redesigning his space.	Negative	Email
Similarly Passy Island should not mirror the high rises in Lewisham.	Negative	Email
I think they should remain in line with the surrounding area and no higher.	Negative	Email
I have concerns about the proposed 17-20 storey heights of buildings in the Ravensbourne Quarter as outlined in the Master Plan. These would be more than twice the height of the Catford Green buildings opposite th	Negative	Email
Concerned by indicative heights for the largest buildings on the site (up to twice the height of Catford's tallest existing buildings).	Negative	Email
Main concern again as other comments, is height of proposed housing. We must not end up like Lewisham, the tower blocks are awful, way too high. The buildings should stay within existing ones/Catford Green, not b	Negative	Email
However the ideas for Plassy Island need to be reconsidered. 16 storeys is too high. This is nearly 50% higher than Eros House at 9 storeys; 10 storeys for this site should be the maximum. Agree the height step down a	Negative	Email
But it any regeneration has to be sympathetic to the current feel of Catford town centre, and building heights should not exceed the current height of Milford Towers/ Catford Green.	Negative	Email
Many of us local residents have just discovered that the Framework proposal for the Catford Regeneration Project includes the erection of several towers up to 20 storeys high. Neither the Council nor Team Catford hav	Negative	Email
We are asking for three things: 1. Honesty and transparency from the Council and TeamCatford regarding the proposals for 20 storey towers in the heart of our community. We would like the Framework to be put on hc	Negative	Email

We would like a compromise on the maximum height of buildings in our community. Since the Council was so helpful and vocal in supporting my community by not allowing Catford Gardens developers to put up buildii	Negative	Email
We do not want 20-storey buildings in the heart of Catford!	Negative	Email
As a housing worker, I want to see more affordable homes built to tackle the housing crisis - hopefully at least 50% of these new homes will be affordable homes - many social housing and Council homes. If this means I	Positive	Email
Though I am concerned with the frequently discussed 17 -20 storeys as many appear to be with a petition now with over 300 signatures showing this, I am seriously concerned about the blunt abutment to the terraces	Negative	Email
Yes, I agree Lewisham and Croydon are different, though you repeatedly mention a more modest scale for Catford. I would like to point out that 17-20 storeys is the scale of many of the towers that have been already c	Negative	Email
I disagree that this is in line with existing policies, primarily as the towers are not all in locations that the 2010 tall building study allocated as being appropriate for tall buildings so how can this no be suddenly fine.	Negative	Email
You are correct, Lewisham is marked as metropolitan in the Characterisation study, but Catford is stated as being Urban, as it is in the emerging Lewisham Local Plan. Catford is not Lewisham and it is the former that is t	Negative	Email
I'm sorry, but this statement is simply not true. There is not a chance that 17-20 storeys will be the same as the 8 storeys across the road due to a simple level drop in terrain. At best his is 1-2 storeys in reality from the	Negative	Email
The Council needs the framework as towers are only allowed as part of a masterplan as you note. Without an overall vision it will be much harder to get individual towers through against the London Plan. It appears th;	Negative	Email
8 (Numbered 7) - Agree, Milford Towers is blunt, though the proposed heights will also be blunt no matter what the design and detail is, you can't avoid this when the neighbouring building is set to be 4x to 6x the heigl	Negative	Email
I fear that the current proposals for high-rise buildings up to 20 storeys will dominate the Catford skyline and turn it's back on the historic character of Catford town centre and it's surrounding two-storey Victorian terra	Negative	Email
We have already seen the impact these high-rise buildings have had on what was once a historical beautiful town centre of Lewisham, which continues to be demolished of character, light and privacy. Please reconsider	Negative	Email
Currently Milford Towers is a 3-storey podium with 5 storey towers in sensitive locations which allows south light to the northern Victorian terraces. The proposals appear to indicate 20 storey towers surrounded by 12-	Negative	Email
Why are such big towers being proposed here and how do they really relate and respect the surrounding Victorian terraces as the proposals claim they do? Noting respect for the terraces is a requirement set out by Le	Negative	Email
Has consideration been given to how these will overlook and affect the existing amenity spaces associated with the existing houses and not affect the privacy they currently have? Can this assessment also be published	Negative	Email
Have the protected local views been taken into consideration when proposing these heights - if feels a block of towers at those heights will only do harm to those described in the Council's policies and not protect these	Negative	Email
2 - The height information for the towers on the Wickes site are missing / not clear on the diagrams / key miss-labelled. It appears some of these are also 20 storeys - again this feel far too much for the area, especially v	Negative	Email
8 - As above, the Yards / Lanes facing the north and west existing terraces are discussed as stepping down in order to respect the Victorian terraces neighbours by reducing in scale to circa 12 storeys - how is this respec	Negative	Email
I am concerned about the Lanes and the heights of the proposed towers and proximity they have to each other. From reading through the Draft Framework, these range from 1.5x the height of the existing Milford Tow	Negative	Email
As a resident in the area just to the north I fear this is going to be oppressive and affect my light and privacy in my private garden that will be visible from these towers and I am surprised that heights as tall of these are	Negative	Email
We had some leaflets from a residents' organisation pointing out there are several sites in the catford redevelopment that are planned to include up to 20 storey tower blocks. this would spell the end of local character	Negative	Email
I've just seen that the current plans allow for buildings up to 20 stories in the town centre. This fact seems to have been glossed over but buildings of anywhere near this size would utterly destroy the town feel of Catfo	Negative	Email
We hear 20 storey high monstrosities are due to get the go ahead. This will quite literally dwarf our local community.	Negative	Email
(b) limit in height of buildings. (12 storeys?)	Negative	Email
I am concerned that we may end up with 20 storey buildings but not the green space / extra primary school / re-routing of the South Circular / community space.	Negative	Email
As local homeowners, one large concern we do hold is with regards to the proposed 17-20 storey apartment blocks.	Negative	Email
While we understand the need to build upwards, the mix of 4 and 8-storey buildings housed on the former greyhound racing site seem far more appropriate. As a maximum height, 10-storeys for a central block is as mi	Negative	Email
That Looks great as do a lot of the plans shown - but, what about the tower block plans that have sneaked into the Catford planning? Previously the idea had been to keep Catford to lower level housing and not to repe	Negative	Email
I finally received the marketing material you door dropped- shame it wasn't a copy of the actual framework we have been calling for. Not a single mention of the multiple 16-20 storey buildings you have put forward.	Negative	Email
At the moment, the plans to dramatically increase the heights, mass and density of the area bordering low-rise residential neighbourhoods without consulting the community about alternatives in such a huge, flagship	Negative	Email
Catford in the long term should remain somewhere that people want to live. These high rises would have a negative impact on those living in close-proximity to the site and also impact on the area's character – which I	Negative	Email
Currently only a few taller buildings in the town centre e.g Eros Tower (9 storeys) and Milford Towers (8 storeys) exist, which are much lower than the proposals.	Negative	Email
. The council needs to present a range of options and have a balanced debate that clearly defines what a reduction in residential tower heights would mean in terms of the wider project.	Negative	Email
For example in Barnet, the council recognise "Buildings above eight storeys tend to take on the attributes of a tall building in a context such as Barnet"	Negative	Email
1.2 I understand that the designs show stepping so that most of the 20 storey blocks, but not all will be surrounded by medium rise buildings. All will impact the horizon and there will be possible wind tunnels and othe	Negative	Email
Creating 20 storey blocks here will further stress this site and be very obtrusive to the surrounding area which is all low-rise making it very dark, affecting houses on Ravensbourne Road opposite and completely changir	Negative	Email
With any plans, we need better assurance that existing property boundaries in close-proximity to the site, will not be overshadowed or overlooked in any way that constitutes a worsening of the existing conditions (incl	Negative	Email

NEW HOMES

Organisation (if specified)	Extract of relevant comment	Sentiment	Source
	There's a lot of flat building here but what is going to build a community. In the Stratford devts people stay on average just 4 years This looks very similar and so the encouragement of a transient population not familie	Negative	Zoom
	Thx for addressing my question , but i didnt feel it was really answered. i would like to know how much of the new look Milford towers will be allocated for SOCIAL housing , given that you said 9,000 homes are needed.	Neutral	Zoom
	I note a large number of flats. Is this viable now in light of people wanting gardens / outdoor space and houses over flats. We have seen the flat market decimated in Central London. This trend will continue as we have	Neutral	Zoom
	The timescales mentioned seem to prioritise new homes, however many of us know how crowded trains are during rush hour already, surely working with TfL to increase train schedules has to be a dependency here.	Neutral	Zoom
	2. New homes - echoing my neighbors' and following on the previous answers to this topic - how are we building community, ensuring that we do not create ghost towns of empty living spaces that are no longer afford	Neutral	Email
	Has consideration been given to how these will overlook and affect the existing amenity spaces associated with the existing houses and not affect the privacy they currently have? Can this assessment also be published	Negative	Email
	How will private amenity space for these flats for these be provided - especially in the context of increased people working from home this is more important than ever and it has proven shared space is not the same as	Negative	Email
	How does the new population proposed compare to current residents in Milford Towers?	Negative	Email
	The documents point to the Bakerloo Line extension which has not been confirmed, and given reduced TfL budgets, has been discussed as potentially being shelved for a few years for any extension past Lewisham. Trai	Negative	Email
	How will the spaces around the base of the towers be designed to deal with wind channelled by the towers; to ensure life and vibrancy at this level is created and maintained; to ensure they feel safe at night when the	Negative	Email
	Assume the proposed garden spaces on the tower podiums will not be public?	Negative	Email
	How many residential units will be allocated to those residents who are on the Lewisham housing list?		
	Given that main part of the work hinges on the south Circular being re-routed Has TfL given any definitive answer as to when it can start.		
		Neutral	Email
	What % of the affordable homes will be Shared Ownership, Affordable Rent and more importantly Social Rent?		
		Neutral	Email
	Sorry if already covered but what are plans for Eros House?		
		Neutral	Email
	What about doctor and hospital and school provision for all the new residents?		
		Neutral	Email
	How close to Lewisham style buildings will these ones be? and has the impact on health of residents living adjacent to the yards been assessed, both short term , during construction, and long term in terms of light obs	Neutral	Email
	I note a large number of flats. Is this viable now in light of people wanting gardens / outdoor space and houses over flats. We have seen the flat market decimated in throughout London especially Central London. This t		
		Neutral	Email
	You have built new flats in catford area in thomes lane there's flats there that need a lot of work done to them the wall cracking up they said they was coming down years ago nothing happened they had some work do	Neutral	Email
	They would serve investors and people using the train who would no doubt snap them up for rental and do little for the locality of catford as a whole.	Negative	Email

6. and finally which part of the schem would be the affordable. And which part the high end. I think this is fundamental to the placemaking agenda put forward by egret west as positioning of the social housing/etc	Neutral	Email
"More affordable homes"...so what's supposed to be happening with the current inhabitants of Milford Towers who won't be able to afford this kind of "affordable" homes?	Negative	Socails
Is the development going to provide "genuine social rented homes" we wait with baited breath	Negative	Socails
We hear saying that Catford "can begin as early as 2021" the consultation is here: teamcatford.com/listening-to-y... #SE6 We need #SocialRentedHomes	Neutral	Socails
Only 35% at 'social rent' masked by saying 70% of 50% will be 'social rent' or are they LAR which would mean no social Rent homes ? what are Lewisham council hiding by pretending to build social Rent homes?	Negative	Socails
All I see is unaffordable housing (but great opportunities for overseas investors), displacing local people and shops, plus similar carbuncles as the towers in Lewisham. The rest is smoke and mirrors, and won't be built at	Negative	Socails
This is scheme is a massive scam, replacing social housing stock by private properties, unaffordable to the local community, for the sake of short term profits.	Negative	Socails
The Milford community have been fully engaged & have been a part of the process. New homes have already been found for some. Milford Towers are suitable for what they are currently being used for, housing familie	Neutral	Socails
I request the council to clearly explain their significant change in stance to the development of the Catford Centre / Milford Tower site.	Neutral	Email
There is a huge need for housing of all kinds in Lewisham, including affordable homes. Building such a large number of new homes is essential for the survival and success of the town centre, because you need a lot mo	Positive	Email
Concerned with 6000-7000 extra people that the train infrastructure won't be able to cope. Would be more supportive if the rail services is actually going to be improved either by more trains or the bakerloo which is n	Negative	Email
Ok but seems tall. Lots of extra people using facilities so expanded ones and improved infrastructure needs to be provided. Should be in keeping with Catford Green heights, not double this.	Negative	Email
Similar to Ravensbourne Quarter. Lots of extra people and no firm commitment to infrastructure improvements. Very close to existing terrace (like the Lanes).	Negative	Email
Again the building marked number 1 looks like it could be quite high, obviously it should be no higher than the tallest building on the Barrett's site as it would tower over the other residential properties in the area as w	Negative	Email
I have concerns about the proposed 17-20 storey heights of buildings in the Ravensbourne Quarter as outlined in the Master Plan. These would be more than twice the height of the Catford Green buildings opposite th	Negative	Email
The site needs to allow for sufficient open/green space for occupiers to have outside space "on their doorstep"; this means less ground space available for building. Such a mix will encourage community spirit. The plan	Negative	Email
However where will they go - as these are useful shops for the community, getting rid of them is not ideal?	Negative	Email
Any housing should be low impact as per the above.	Negative	Email
There are some industrial buildings on Fordmill Road which could make space for housing in the future - and that housing would certainly be better served by having such a connection.	Neutral	Email
My concern is not so much regarding the height of some of the proposed towers but the tenure of those who come to live in them.	Neutral	Email
As a housing worker, I want to see more affordable homes built to tackle the housing crisis - hopefully at least 50% of these new homes will be affordable homes - many social housing and Council homes. If this means I	Positive	Email
Though you stated that you have tested a higher capacity, there doesn't seem to any narrative to why 2,700 was deemed acceptable past 'it looked ok'. For a framework that will set the planning basis for years to come	Negative	Email
This will result in either a blunt and uncomfortable juxtaposition between the low-level existing context and the high raise new or, as I would hope, someone will see sense during the planning process and lower the hei	Negative	Email
Though I am concerned with the frequently discussed 17 -20 storeys as many appear to be with a petition now with over 300 signatures showing this, I am seriously concerned about the blunt abutment to the terraces	Negative	Email
This is not sensitive in any approach and detailed design of these cannot resolve that and the simple disrespect to the privacy and amenity the existing residents should be entitled to.	Negative	Email
High density does not mean high rise as the good practice guidance and policies state and the 2015 study on the site proved when it proposed much lower heights and achieved 2,500 dwellings, though consideration tc	Negative	Email
You also mention infrastructure upgrades, which realigning the A205 is not really going to bring beneficial upgrade to the infrastructure. We need commitment of rail upgrades that we do not have and is not going to or	Negative	Email
You mention 900 homes, but the Local Plan allows for around 1084 units. Again, note developers won't care what the Council argue if their policies say it is OK. This is a quantum well in excess of the now removed Dens	Negative	Email
I hold this is as an unsustainable development and the Council have jumped the gun by claiming 2,700 units are achievable without firm commitment to infrastructure upgrades.	Negative	Email
It is sad that areas south of Catford are acknowledge of this in the emerging Lewisham Local Plan with bracketed increases which is not the case in Catford which will likely be overwhelmed by this and add to the erosio	Negative	Email
You state the previous higher density was viewed negatively by the community. Listen to you community now, they are telling you once again that the proposals are wrong in terms of the massing. Deborah Efemini rece	Negative	Email
There is a huge need for housing of all kinds in Lewisham, including affordable homes.	Positive	Email
Building such a large number of new homes is essential for the survival and success of the town centre, because you need a lot more people in the locality to sustain shops and services now that so much is done online	Positive	Email
How will private amenity space for these flats for these be provided - especially in the context of increased people working from home this is more important than ever and it has proven shared space is not the same as	Negative	Email
How does the new population proposed compare to current residents in Milford Towers?	Neutral	Email
The documents point to the Bakerloo Line extension which has not been confirmed, and given reduced TFL budgets, has been discussed as potentially being shelved for a few years for any extension past Lewisham. Trai	Negative	Email
As above, the Yards / Lanes facing the north and west existing terraces are discussed as stepping down in order to respect the Victorian terraces neighbours by reducing in scale to circa 12 storeys - how is this respectfu	Negative	Email
The Framework document appears to rely on the Bakerloo Line extension occurring past Lewisham. Given TFLs budget issues and potential shelving of this, how will the infrastructure be guaranteed for this increase in p	Negative	Email
I am concerned that we may end up with 20 storey buildings but not the green space / extra primary school / re-routing of the South Circular / community space.	Negative	Email
2700 new homes means a lot of new demand for services such as nurseries, schools, GPs etc.	Negative	Email
We completely understand and support the need for social and affordable housing, but believe that this must be done in a way that is in keeping with the area.	Negative	Email
It is difficult to see how buildings so high, double the size of Eros House, could ever fit among the Victorian terraces of the neighbouring streets, or how local amenities - schools, GPs, nurseries and so forth – could acco	Negative	Email
what is meant by 'affordable housing'.	Neutral	Email
Will these new homes be available as social rented housing for those in need on the the housing waiting lists, or will they be 'intermediate housing'.	Neutral	Email
Sadly, I suspect as is often the case, the 'affordable housing' will fall in the intermediate category, thereby forcing those local people who are so desperately in need of new homes out of the area and allowing the young	Negative	Email
I would be more supportive of this scheme if I felt that it really was going deliver on its promise to provide affordable homes for local people.	Negative	Email
at the moment their infrastructure struggles from the blocks built by the stations. The commuter services will need to be more regular xx	Negative	Email
2,700 new homes is brilliant but where's the infrastructure to cope with this? Sticking people high density 20 storey tower blocks is a terrible idea.	Negative	Email
Increasing density is not necessarily best achieved through tall buildings, it can also be achieved with compact medium-rise development. I understand that the 2,700 homes are currently sought to be built in Catford, f	Negative	Email
We need more clarity as to why such densities are proposed for Catford despite no firm commitment being made to upgrade the transport infrastructure, such as the rail network?	Negative	Email
At best, the Framework shows the end of the Bakerloo Line extension works occurring in the early 2030s - if it does actually go ahead! By this time, large sections of the development are due to have been completed w	Negative	Email

CONSULTATION

Organisation (if specified)	Extract of relevant comment	Sentiment	Source
	I've lived in catford over 10 years. I heard talks about regeneration years ago but this and recent communication is the first Ive actually seen. My concern is the way this has been communicated to ordinary residents in	Negative	Zoom
	why have you not providing newsletters to local residents to state the proposals may be up to 20 stories in height?	Negative	Zoom
	I think you've consulted really well on this	Positive	Zoom
	I'm sure if I ask a question about cycle lanes / trees you will answer it, although if I ask a question regarding the more controversial and contentious building heights it will not be answered.	Negative	Zoom
	As a general note, the fact there are two website and then a third full length PDF document means this is very hard to access the information easily and readily and would greatly benefit from being reduced to a single	Negative	Email
	This is also opposes information that your representatives of previous consultations have said when this was asked who had confirmed there would not be towers. This feels like the proposal is recreating issues that hav	Negative	Email
	Generally, there is some anger in the local community regarding the Lanes proposal as it feels like it has been miss-sold in previous consultation events eg confirming there will be no towers from consultation represent	Negative	Email
	The height information for the towers on the Wickes site are missing / not clear on the diagrams / key miss-labelled. It appears some of these are also 20 storeys - again this feel far too much for the area, especially wht	Negative	Email

11 - The images in the framework document show a model. We have never seen this model at a consultation event to date, please can this model be placed somewhere central (eg the empty shop window in Winslade	Negative	Email
I received a copy of 'Catford Conversation' today and read it with great interest. I've lived in Catford for 21 years (7 years Holbeach Road, 14 years Broadfield Rd). I've been hearing about Catford's 'regeneration' since I g	Positive	Email
I think it's great to incentivise people to think the area could transform but you also should be honest about the financial challenges to do such a project and the challenge in tracing every commercial property owner fc	Negative	Email
I have seen your plans for Catford and all in I think it looks great! I am 99 percent behind it and will help any way I can.	Positive	Email
Please find below our comments and queries in light of the recent framework document prepared for consultation. There are a number of queries, and generally the redevelopment looks like it will be a fantastic benefi	Positive	Email
As a general note, the fact there are two website and then a third full length PDF document means this is very hard to access the information easily and readily and would greatly benefit from being reduced to a single	Negative	Email
I live in Rushey Green and have not recieved anything through post and neither have my neighbours - will this be sent to me soon?	Negative	Email
Why have you not providing newsletters to local residents to state the proposals may be up to 20 stories in height? I live in Rushey Green and not received any newsletters. I have spoken to many others in the neighbou	Negative	Email
why dont you answer and tricky questions such as height.... I have been to previous consultations and Team Catford only answer simply questions on cycles and other questions which have already been made clear in p	Negative	Email
Have the PLanning department commented on the proposed heights of buildings in the town masterplan?	Negative	Email
The diagrams of the character areas are VERY misleading, they are not shown any where close to 20 stories, and give the public the notation that the development is not too severe ...	Negative	Email
I've lived in catford over 10 years. I heard talks about regeneration years ago but this and recent communication is the first Ive actually seen. My concern is the way this has been communicated to ordinary residents in	Negative	Email
the council has not insisted that the developer of catford green build a promised bridge to connect to Doggett road. why should we trust you?	Negative	Email
20 minutes of questions is hardly an adequate consultation...	Negative	Email
I am unable to attend the Zoom meetings but I am hoping you can help with some questions I have regarding 'Catford's green town centre growth' plans	Neutral	Email
Neither the Council nor TeamCatford have given my neighbours and I proper notice or information regarding this new proposal. Furthermore, we have not been given any information on how we may object to this prop	Negative	Email
We are asking for two things: Honesty and transparency from the Council and TeamCatford regarding the proposals for 20 storey towers in the heart of our community. We would like the Framework to be put on hold u	Negative	Email
During the online Consultation Event on the 7th January, TeamCatford claimed it had distributed (by door drop) a newsletter in November/December 2020, clearly explaining the new proposals and ways in which comn	Negative	Email
Maybe im wrong. Ive only seen the plans a it online. Hanks so much for putting out the engagement mornings	Positive	Email
Four years of consultation ask the community if they know what is happening they don't have a clue...	Negative	Socials
Don't bother they won't answer your questions...these online consultations are a failure, some of the most significant changes to Catford are happening with zero community input.	Negative	Socials
That's why we have called it a #CONsultation they've already done this with the		
#CONsultation for Catford Town Centre...they've seen an opportunity with the pandemic and are rushing it all through, nothing to see here	Negative	Socials
Greetings all we done it I knew they were wrong to have a consultation over the Xmas period it's been extended!! #Socialrentedhomes		
ran the story again Happy Holidays Christmas tree	Negative	Socials
Where can we see the designs for the 20 storey towers you are proposing for the Milford Towers site? All of the images being used to market the plans are so cropped and misleading. Show us the skyline and be transp	Negative	Socials
You said during a consultation event that all of Rushey Green residents have received this through their door to be able to consult on the draft framework though neither my neighbours nor I have received it. Can you le	Negative	Socials
We are so disappointed to find out that @teamcatford are falsely claiming to have consulted on the 20 storey towers and yet we as local residents have received no such consultation. No leaflet drop in November/Dece	Negative	Socials
attended this the other week and they did answer the questions	Positive	Socials
Well good for you but unfortunately online consultations mean that the hosts can be selective with which questions they want to answer. Plus they didn't show any of the questions asked or who else was asking them..	Negative	Socials
More failures of digital consultation	Negative	Socials
yes they are totally glossing over the detail!	Negative	Socials
Very disappointed with the consultation session.		
Q&A being private (and therefore also disallowing voting on questions) is not good for transparency of the issues we have.		
Also a longer Q&A time is needed particularly if one question can end up dominating the time.	Negative	Socials
Thanks, as someone that has run and attended a number of Zoom sessions, public Q&A with voting is needed to get the best buy in.		
It felt very much like I was being consulted at, not with.	Negative	Socials
glad to see you are using a more representative image- still a long way to go though. Can you extend the final framework consultation and consult using images that do not disguise the true scale and density of the buil	Negative	Socials
Lewisham Council has not told our Community about recent, significant, changes made to the final Framework document for Catford's regeneration project. These changes include building 20-storey towers in the hear	Negative	Socials
I finally received the marketing material you door dropped- shame it wasn't a copy of the actual framework we have been calling for. Not a single mention of the multiple 16-20 storey buildings you have put forward. 2,	Negative	Socials
Thanks for your message. It is good to hear you say that planning applications are some way off. However your statement that there has been extensive public consultation is slightly wide of the mark. There has been cc		
Thank you for taking the time to write to me. I find it hard to shake the feeling that the residents of Catford have been taken advantage of during this consultation and had the wool pulled over their eyes. I do hope the	Negative	Socials
I'm not challenging the need for housing. I'm concerned about your approach to consultation on the final framework with the people of Catford. Such a straw man response is designed to distract from the question I'm	Negative	Socials
This is not an accurate representation of what this development will look like. People of #catford you have one day left to comment on this final framework before it gets pushed through. For more information on the p	Negative	Socials
Can the Framework please be put on hold until the people of Catford have had the opportunity to properly review the full proposals and comment on them? The illustrations being used in the promotion of this scheme	Negative	Email
I request for the consultation to be extended for those currently unaware of the draft CTCF proposals, to enable time for them to receive the above and engage if they wish to do so.	Neutral	Email
Neither the Council nor Team Catford have given my neighbours and I proper notice or information regarding this new proposal. Furthermore, we have not been given any information on how we may object to this pro	Negative	Email
We have attended several of these consultations but, to date, our questions have gone unanswered.	Negative	Email
We are asking for three things: 1. Honesty and transparency from the Council and TeamCatford regarding the proposals for 20 storey towers in the heart of our community. We would like the Framework to be put on hc	Negative	Email
During the online Consultation Event on the 7th January (at which you were present), TeamCatford claimed it had distributed (by door drop) a newsletter in November/December 2020, clearly explaining the new propo	Negative	Email
Thank you for your hardwork in displaying your leaflets in the local neighbourhood giving information about the proposed regeneration of Catford. This is very informative and helpful.	Positive	Email
I understand the hard work that has gone into this, though previously stated, from a resident's perspective it is not overly easy to access all the information as it is contained within three different websites - it would be	Negative	Email
A single website would also save doubling up the same information which makes it hard to tell when there is new information. As many have noted, the publicity of the event does not cover the majority of the key item	Negative	Email
I have now received the Catford Conversations maildrop so thank you for this - though it did only come 2 weeks prior to the end of the consultation and the high-level summary, again, does not address all key topics of	Negative	Email
I also want to note that your reply actually does not directly answer many of my points, however I will respond to your points raised out of courtesy. If you could reply to my initial points directly, rather than changing the	Negative	Email
Unfortunately for me and those who will be living in the shadow of the towers, I doubt our hope for the latter will ever be a reality given this is a Council project, on Council owned land, with a Council who are rewriting	Negative	Email
During a consultation event I attended, it was stated that the context will be changed by the development so we need to consider this when we talk about context and not just the existing terraces, however I have neve	Negative	Email
I disagree that this is in line with existing policies, primarily as the towers are not all in locations that the 2010 tall building study allocated as being appropriate for tall buildings so how can this no be suddenly fine.	Negative	Email
Some of these items should have been tested at a level appropriate to the Framework in order to develop it and allow those making decision to do so in an informed manner. This should be part and course of the devel	Negative	Email
This is a major piece of planning policy that will shape Catford. It will be very difficult for the Council to argue against when a developer comes and along and says this framework allows me to do this as it will be the ad	Negative	Email
The Council needs the framework as towers are only allowed as part of a masterplan as you note. Without an overall vision it will be much harder to get individual towers through against the London Plan. It appears th	Negative	Email
It's deeply disheartening the Council has not only allowed Barrett's to wriggle out of this, and but also end up paying less than they were meant to as a result - you have to realise it is things like this where mistrust is str	Negative	Email

12 (numbered 11) - I think it is terrible a new model new model has not been made for this consultation. As you state, it is a medium that was previously used and people would be familiar with; it is also easier for mos	Negative	Email
I also noted the boards appear to have changed between May and June in 2019. As a result, I missed the chance to comment on them as I attended in May so was under the belief it was the same consultation event be	Negative	Email
I've seen some anonymous flyers opposing the proposals so I imagine you're hearing some opposition from local residents. I'm sure you know this but all the evidence indicates that the minority of people who oppose	Positive	Email
This is also opposes information that your representatives of previous consultations have said when this was asked who had confirmed there would not be towers. This feels like the proposal is recreating issues that ha	Negative	Email
Generally, there is some anger in the local community regarding the Lanes proposal as it feels like it has been miss-sold in previous consultation events eg confirming there will be no towers from consultation represent	Negative	Email
2 - The height information for the towers on the Wickes site are missing / not clear on the diagrams / key miss-labelled. It appears some of these are also 20 storeys - again this feel far too much for the area, especially i	Negative	Email
11 - The images in the framework document show a model. We have never seen this model at a consultation event to date, please can this model be placed somewhere central (eg the empty shop window in Winslade	Negative	Email
I live in Rushey Green and have not recieved anything through post and neither have my neighbours - will this be sent to me soon?	Negative	Email
I've lived in catford over 10 years. I heard talks about regeneration years ago but this and recent communication is the first Ive actually seen. My concern is the way this has been communicated to ordinary residents in	Negative	Email
We are so disappointed to find out that Team Catford are falsely claiming to have consulted on the 20 storey towers and yet we as local residents have received no such consultation. No leaflet drop in November/Decer	Negative	Email
a) River Ravensbourne must function as an eco-corridor; that concern wasn't addressed when I attended a meeting at the C.S.	Negative	Email
Firstly, thank you for holding these sessions and allowing us to learn more about the vision for the area. There was certainly lots to be positive about, including the town centre – with its focus on public spaces, sustaina	Positive	Email
We ask that Lewisham Council takes into consideration the local community, as it clearly has in many of the progressive and welcome measures set out in the development of Catford centre, and reconsider the height c	Neutral	Email
glad to see you are using a more representative image- still a long way to go though. Can you extend the final framework consultation and consult using images that do not disguise the true scale and density of the buil	Negative	Email
The framework consultation informs the planning. Let people have a fair say on the tower blocks at this stage, once the planning is pushed through it'll be too late. We all know that if people really knew the scale of the	Negative	Email
I finally received the marketing material you door dropped- shame it wasn't a copy of the actual framework we have been calling for. Not a single mention of the multiple 16-20 storey buildings you have put forward.	Negative	Email
This is not fair or transparent consultation!	Negative	Email
At the moment, the plans to dramatically increase the heights, mass and density of the area bordering low-rise residential neighbourhoods without consulting the community about alternatives in such a huge, flagship	Negative	Email
The consultation doesn't feel like you are listening to comments but feels a bit more like window-dressing for aspects of the project that you want to push through anyway, especially when so many previous stages resic	Negative	Email
I would like a meaningful and open discussion on the current proposals allowing all sections of the community to be heard, not just those with internet connections.	Negative	Email
The consultation on the present plans took place over the Christmas period, and in the middle of a pandemic. Not ideal conditions to ensure firstly that anyone who is affected, or would like to make their viewpoint kn	Negative	Email
The consultation must notify all residents on neighbouring streets about the most recent CTCF as well as widely as possible across the borough, which has not yet been done.	Negative	Email
I am on Team Catford's email list, however this major change to the planning was not communicated over email. I was not aware of it, or the need to give my views before the imminent end of the consultation period u	Negative	Email
The consultation relies on word of mouth, subscribing to social media feeds and apparently very limited information in a shop front in Winslade Way (Catford Centre) which contains no information regarding heights an	Negative	Email
I would like further clarification why a 'Central' density is now being applied to 'The Lanes' site instead of an 'Urban' density, as this has changed from the current Local Plan, Lewisham Core Strategies and the Lewisham	Negative	Email
8.1 Better visual aids are key to ensuring people can actually see the various proposed elements, as there are currently limited accurate representation/images of the proposals, this seems very misleading.	Negative	Email
The consultation should use images and text on all communications showing the 20 storey buildings.	Negative	Email
Images should not be used which have been heavily cropped removing the visual representation of the towers proposed.	Negative	Email
The information provided should allow those that are being consulted to fully understand the proposals as a basis for informed responses.	Negative	Email
Would it be possible to create a scale or accurate visual model of the 'maximum proposed heights' outlined in the draft CTCF, to allow those being consulted to fully understand the proposals.	Neutral	Email
As this Framework will be a baseline of acceptability for a future developer, I request proof that testing has been undertaken as required by both current and emerging Local Plans and policies, some of which are noted	Negative	Email

DELIVERY

Organisation (if specified)	Extract of relevant comment	Sentiment	Source
	These are impressive/ambitious plans. How much of the project is currently funded? Could the impact of Covid related-cuts threaten funding?	Positive	Zoom
	The council has not insisted that the developer of catford green build a promised bridge to connect to Doggett road. why should we trust you?	Negative	Zoom
	That sounds very expensive and doesn't seem to be in the plan	Negative	Zoom
	1. Funding - I understand from the documentation initial funding will not be provided via council taxes, however given this is a long term phased project, how will funding be achieved and what will be the impact on res	Neutral	Email
	There is mention of green spaces but what are the other key sustainability targets the regeneration is targeting. This is still an early-stage project so it should take into full consideration the climate emergency that has t	Neutral	Email
	12 - The timeline for this shows the Yard and Lanes not starting on site for at least 10 years with a 10 year build programme. This is obviously going to be very disruptive for all local neighbours of the site - could this be	Negative	Email
	The area has seen an increasing influx of gentrifiers, which is great to get the stone wheel moving on this however, I think Lewisham Council is blowing smoke up your arse. They know full well that the last credible conv	Negative	Email
	The new plan looks lovely but who will be Lewisham's commercial build partner? Is Lewisham intending to borrow to build? Like Croydon Council this would not be a smart plan.	Negative	Email
	Lewisham Council has consistently missed every commercial opportunity to regenerate because they do not have staff with the correct experience or skills.	Negative	Email
	I think it's great to incentivise people to think the area could transform but you also should be honest about the financial challenges to do such a project and the challenge in tracing every commercial property owner fc	Negative	Email
	The Framework document appears to rely on the Bakerloo Line extension occurring past Lewisham. Given TFLs budget issues and potential shelving of this, how will the infrastructure be guaranteed for this increase in p	Negative	Email
	What changes will there be to road traffic. Especially buses. Do you have a start date in mind?	Neutral	Email
	These are impressive/ambitious plans. How much of the project is currently funded? Could the impact of Covid related-cuts threaten funding?	Positive	Email
	Will the council have to rely on S106 receipts from the early phase receipts which will eventually lead to higher densities to achieve viability?	Neutral	Email
	If it is rare for councils to own so much land in London why can't we have alternative uses rather than housing?	Neutral	Email
	If the 'green town centre' is the main concept for the masterplan, how can you measure that this will be achieved?	Neutral	Email
	Lewisham Council has received an allocation of £10m from the Housing Infrastructure Fund (HIF) to realign the A205. Will Lewisham Council lose this funding due to the delays in realigning the A205? I understand this r	Neutral	Email
	I am the home owner of 76 Brownhill Road, Catford. Unfortunately I am unable to attend the Zoom meetings but I am hoping you can help with some questions I have regarding 'Catford's green town centre growth' pla	Neutral	Email
	They have published the new master plan for Catford but given the current situation it will take years :(
	The start will be the move of the south circular which requires TfL to get their act together, but first improvements will be done between the stations	Negative	Socials
	The Catford "improvement plan" is the longest story ever told and might best be filed away for posterity in the fiction section. It's never going to happen.	Negative	Socials
	Yes years go by, but they have to spend the money for the never build railway bridge and that will be around the 2 stations. I am still hopeful there will be one traction.		
	The key issue is the move of the south circular and that is unfortunately years away. Only then the whole thing will start	Negative	Socials
	Four years of community consultation and still nothing has happened. Three simple questions: 1. When the plans be finalised? 2. What is the timeline for the regeneration? 3. Is any of this really going to happen, given	Negative	Socials
	Just get started.	Neutral	Socials
	Gareth Sheppard, Lucy Whitford Martínez. Team Catford, in reply to your response to Gareth and Lucy yesterday, I think it's important to note that whilst this is not a planning application, it is still a very important docu	Negative	Socials
	As this Framework will be a baseline of acceptability for a future developer, I request proof that testing has been undertaken as required by both current and emerging Local Plans and policies, some of which are noted	Neutral	Email

I request that the consultation material is properly checked and revised by the team that created it to ensure it is accurate and consistent with regards to the proposal. This involves removing the numerous errors and ir	Neutral	Email
I grew up in Catford, went to school in Catford and have now started my business in Catford. The sooner we start to work together to regenerate the Town Centre, modernise the old mid-90's and older buildings, re-rou	Positive	Email
Though you stated that you have tested a higher capacity, there doesn't seem to any narrative to why 2,700 was deemed acceptable past 'it looked ok'. For a framework that will set the planning basis for years to come	Negative	Email
The timeline for this shows the Yard and Lanes not starting on site for at least 10 years with a 10 year build programme. This is obviously going to be very disruptive for all local neighbours of the site - could this be revie	Negative	Email

OTHER

Organisation (if specified)

Extract of relevant comment

Sentiment

Source

Unsure if they will add a step free access. That would be ideal. Otherwise, sounds good.	Positive	Commonplace
It would be wonderful if the original station buildings on both platforms were restored and used for community business.	Positive	Commonplace
The central space could be very good, I agree with reusing the old ticket office, such a lovely, but currently unloved building	Positive	Commonplace
A cargo bike hub will be quite useful for the businesses in the Yards. Think 15-minute city deliveries.	Positive	Commonplace
The Cat. The Cat in Catford belongs to us the people. I grew up looking at that cat. Scared of it when I was small, appreciating its artistry now. You should not dispose of it.	Positive	Email
To discuss these topics and exchange a few ideas, I would like to propose an online meeting with your team (Sarah Walsh if available), a council officer from Highways, and a couple of representatives from Lewisham Cy	Positive	Email
An incredible vision to show The Cat in all her glory. Looks amazing! Solid work,	Positive	Socials
Such a vibrant community, so loving a giving. I have been getting more and more clients on vatford and the creative talent in the everyday homes is inspiring.	Positive	Socials
Go-Go-Catford!!!!	Positive	Socials
Can't wait, this will be good for creating much needed jobs..	Positive	Socials
I believe the CTCF contains many positive aspects, and the goals for a green, vibrant and accessible town centre are excellent and admirable, as is opening up the Ravensbourne River and seeking to retain Catford's unic	Positive	Email
I'm writing to express my strong support for the Catford Town Centre Framework plan. I live right on the edge of the development area in Hamlet Close (off Wildfell Road) so if I don't move I'll be directly affected by the	Positive	Email

